

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2447/2025

Union of India & Ors.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Mamta

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Gaurav Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Anjali Minocha with Mr. Tansukh Rai

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SHRIMALI
Order

25/07/2025

Issue notice to the respondent.

One of the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the discharge of the soldier was in terms of Army Rule 13(3) Item III (v) and not on the ground of low medical category as provided under Rule 13(3) Item III (iii). Hence, invalid pension as guaranteed by the Armed Force Tribunal was erroneous as the discharge was on the basis of 3 black ink and 6 red ink entries.

Ms. Anjali Minocha, Adv. accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and shall file reply to the writ petition within a period of three weeks.

In the meanwhile and till further order, the effect and operation of the impugned order passed by the Armed Force Tribunal shall remain stayed.

(CHANDRA PRAKASH SHRIMALI),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),J