

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Arbitration Application No. 23/2024  
URN: ARBAP / 26U / 2024

Virendra Kumar Jain Alias Virendra Jain, S/o Shri Rikhab Chand Jain, R/o North Town Tower-17 Flat-802 4-7 Stephenson Road Jamalia Perambur Chennai-600012

----Applicant

Versus

1. International Cargo Carrier, A Partnership Firm Within The Meaning Of The Indian Partnership Act, 1932, Having Its Principal Place Of Business At International House, F-10, Transport Nagar, Jaipur-302003 And Also At B-59, Transport Nagar, Jaipur-302003
2. Shri Ritesh Jain S/o Late Raj Kumar Jain, Residing At 3/176, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur 302017 And Also Of B-273, Mahaveer Nagar, Tonk Road, Jaipur-302018
3. Sri Mahendra Kumar Jain S/o Surajmal Jain, Residing At Gulabpura, District- Bhilwara, Pin Code-311021
4. Sri Ved Bhusan Sethi S/o Late Bal Mukund Sethi, Residing At P-15, Govind Marg, Adarsh Nagar, Jaipur-302004

----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                                                                           |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Hari Krishna Sharma                                                                   |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Ms. Vinny Jain through VC<br>Mr. Prakash Chand Jain, through VC<br>Mr. Roshan Vishwakarma |

---

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

**Order**

**03/07/2026**

1. Respondent No.4 has expired. However, his LRs are already on record as respondent No.2. He submits that Sri Ved Bhusan Sethi has already left the firm and he is no more required. At his own cost, the respondent No.4 and his LRs are deleted. An

arbitration clause exist in the agreement entered between the parties, i.e., as Clause 19 which reads as under:-

*"Any controversy or disputes not amicably settled shall be subject to arbitration under the arbitration act in force."*

2. From the perusal of the reply it is apparent that the respondents submits that all accounts stood settled long back and no disputes are pending between the parties.
3. This Court deems it appropriate to appoint Arbitrator Mr. Mukesh Tyagi, retired District Judge, R/o 207, Shri Gopal Nagar, 80 Feet Road, Gopalpura Bypass, Jaipur-302018, to act as the Sole Arbitrator and to decide all issues without prejudice to the rights and contentions raised in the present application.
4. The arbitration fees shall be determined in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Act of 1996, as amended. The fee of the Arbitrator will be equally borne by both the parties.
5. The arbitration application is allowed accordingly.
6. Copy of this order is to be sent to the appointed Sole Arbitrator.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACTING CJ