

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 4655/2015

Madan Mohan Jat Son of Shri Ramlal Jat, R/o Kosra, Police  
Station Baharawanda Kalan, District Sawaimadhopur Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan
2. Kada Jat Son of Mangilal Jat, R/o Kosra, Police Station  
Baharawanda Kalan, District Sawaimadhopur

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                       |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Tarun Jain                        |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. N.S.Dhakar-PP<br>Mr. Sriram Yadav |

---

**JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND**  
**Order**

**20/04/2026**

1. By way of filing the present criminal misc. petition, a prayer has been made to quash the impugned FIR No.22/2015, registered with the Police Station Bharawanda Kalan, District Sawaimadhopur for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 120B, 177 and 171 IPC.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned FIR does not disclose the essential ingredients of the alleged offences but on account of personal vendetta, the aforesaid FIR has been lodged by the complainant against the petitioner with mala fide intention based on false and vague allegations. Hence, interference of this Court is warranted.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor as well as counsel for the complainant oppose the arguments raised by counsel for the petitioner and submits that after investigation, the Investigating Officer has found *prima facie* case against the petitioner, and

therefore, charge-sheet for the above stated offences was submitted against him before the Court of Judicial Magistrate on 22.12.2015. Counsel submits that thereafter, charges were framed and statements of six witnesses have been recorded, hence, interference of this Court is not warranted.

4. Heard and considered the submissions made at Bar and perused the material available on record.

5. The allegations levelled in the impugned FIR reveal commission of cognizable offence. The correctness of the allegations cannot be examined or adjudged by this Court while exercising its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Certainly, this task has been assigned to the Investigating Officer, who has thoroughly investigated the matter and has found *prima facie* case against the petitioner and submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner eleven years ago. Thereafter, trial has commenced and six out of nine witnesses have been examined and the trial has reached its fag end.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant misc. petition granting liberty to the petitioner to take all the grounds and all available defences which have been taken before this Court, at the appropriate stage of the trial before the Trial Court.

7. With the aforesaid observations, the instant criminal misc. petition stands disposed of. Stay application as well as all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J**