

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1976/2026

Ramkishan @ Santoli S/o Daulatram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o
Sahanpur, Police Station Sadar, District Dholpur Raj.
(At present confined in District Jail, Dholpur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anil Jain Mr. Udit Sapra
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

20/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 435/2025 registered at Police Station Sadar Dholpur, District Dholpur for offence(s) under Section(s) 115(2), 126(2), 352, 109(1), 3(5) of BNS.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the main allegation of inflicting injury has been attributed to co-accused, namely Soneram @ Sonu and benefit of bail has already been granted to him along with another co-accused, namely Ravindra Singh @ Ravi, by the Co-ordinate benches of this Court, vide orders dated 16.12.2025 and 20.01.2026, in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos. 16422/2025 and 104/2026, respectively.

3. Learned counsel also contends that none of the injuries, sustained by the injured person, has been reported to be dangerous to life; investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been filed in the matter. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since long; no recovery is required to be made from him and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, and therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove.

5. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the facts that the injuries sustained by the injured person are not dangerous to life; co-accused named above have already been enlarged on bail, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is **allowed** and accused-petitioner **Ramkishan @ Santoli S/o Daulatram** is ordered to be released on bail, provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that the petitioner shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when he is called upon to do so.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J