

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1728/2026

Murli Manohar S/o Badrilal, R/o Pancholi Mohalla, Mandola Ward, Baran, P.s Kotwali, District Baran, At Present House No. 496, Swami Vivekanand Nagar, P.s R.k. Puram Kota City (Raj.) (Accused At Present Confined In Central Jail, Bundi).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                      |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Samarth Sharma   |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA**

**Order**

**27/02/2026**

1. This bail application has been filed by the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No.244/2022 registered at Police Station Railway Colony, District Kota City, for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 & 120B IPC and Sections 3, 4, 5 & 6 of the Rajasthan Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was initially taken into custody in the year 2022 and in the present FIR, the petitioner was arrested on 07.02.2025 and he has been in custody since then. He also submits that the present case is triable by a Court of Magistrate; charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter, no recovery is required to be made from the petitioner and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, therefore, the

benefit of bail under Section 483 B.N.S.S. may be granted to the accused-petitioner.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove and submits that 115 other cases have been registered against the petitioner.

4. In response to the factum of 115 other cases against the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all these cases have been registered on the basis of same allegations levelled by the different persons. He further contends that in some of these cases, the petitioner has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court and in one case, he has been granted benefit of bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. Heard and perused the material available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the fact that case is triable by a Court of Magistrate, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, without commenting anything on the merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. The bail application is accordingly **allowed** and it is directed that accused-petitioner, namely **Murli Manohar S/o Badrilal**, shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, it is made clear that the petitioner shall not involve in similar offence(s) during currency of bail granted by this Court. After being released from prison, he shall mark his presence in the concerned police station in 1<sup>st</sup> week of every month, till trial is concluded.

9. Concerned SHO shall enter the attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, as directed above, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

10. If breach of any of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to petitioner by this Court.

11. Office is directed to send copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

12. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J