

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1855/2026

Santosh Kumar Son of Shri Ramswaroop, Aged About 46 Years,
Resident of Village Mokhroli, Weir, District Bharatpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan through its Dy. Secretary Administration (First), Village Development And Panchayatiraj Department (Panchayati Raj), Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Collector, Bharatpur, District Bharatpur (Raj.)
3. Sub Divisional Officer, Weir, District Bharatpur (Raj.)
4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Weir, District Alwar (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None Present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prateek Saxena, AAAG for Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

06/05/2026

1. No one has put an appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
2. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner on 21.01.2026, seeking following prayer:-

"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that your lordship may graciously call for entire record relating case, examine the same and allow the writ petition by passing an appropriate writ, order or directions-

(a) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, including a writ in the nature of Certiorari, quashing and setting aside the impugned notification dated

28.12.2025 issued by the Panchayati Raj Department, Government Rajasthan, the extent it merges villages Bhopar, Mokhroli and Bhodagaon with Gram Panchayat Raipur, Panchayat Samiti Weir, District Bharatpur;

(b) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to restore and maintain the constitution of Gram Panchayats as notified earlier vide notification dated 20.11.2025, in accordance with the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and the rules framed thereunder ;

(c) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to decide and dispose of the representation dated 30.12.2025 submitted by the petitioner and other affected villagers in a time-bound manner, after affording due opportunity. of hearing:

(D) Issue any other writ order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper, may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioners."

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents pointed out that the controversy raised in this petition, in respect of Notification dated 28.12.2015 issued by the Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, came up for consideration before the Co-ordinate Bench of this High Court in **D.B. Civil Writ Petition (PIL) No.538/2026** titled as **Ratanlal Palsaniya & Others Vs. State of Rajasthan** decided by the order dated **13.01.2026**, hence, the issue involve stands squarely covered by the order dated 13.01.2026 and needs no fresh adjudication. For ready reference, the order dated 13.01.2026, reads as under:-

"1. Heard.

2. The prayer made in this petition is as follows:

"I. Issue an appropriate order or direction in the nature of certiorari, quashing and setting aside the Notification dated 28.12.2025 bearing No. एफ़. 15 (36) / पुनर्गठन / विधि/पंरा/ 2024/891, is-sued by the Rural

Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, to the limited extent it separates Gram Panchayat Chandeli ka Bas- Puniyana from Panchayat Samiti Dantaramgarh and includes it in the newly Panchayat Samiti Khachariyawas, District Sikar.

II. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, directing the Respondents to retain/restore Gram Panchayat Chandeli ka Bas Puniyana within the jurisdiction of Panchayat Samiti Dantaramgarh, District Sikar, in accordance with law and keeping in view contiguity, distance and administrative convenience.

III. In the alternative, issue an appropriate order or direction directing the Respondents to recon-sider the placement of Gram Panchayat Chandeli ka Bas Puniyana after affording due opportunity of hearing to the residents of the concerned Gram Panchayat and to pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound period.

IV. Pass such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

*3 Considering the aspects raised in this petition, we notice that in the judgment dated 14.11.2025 passed in the case of **Sheela Kumari Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7718/2025)** and other connected matters, this Court has upheld the power of the State Government in conducting the exercise relating to the delimitation aspect and the involvement of the people of the concerned village has also been discussed at length. We have been informed that the said judgment stands upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Villagers of Revenue Village Singhaniya & Anr. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. [Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.34/2026] vide order dated 05.01.2026.*

*4. In view thereto, the issue raised in this petition need not be again examined by this Court and the writ petition stands disposed of in the same terms as **Sheela Kumari** (supra).*

5. We have already held that if any representation is made, the same shall be considered and examined by the authorities."

4. It has further pointed out that the following judgment in case of **Sheela Kumari** (Supra) and in case of **Ratan Lal Palsaniya**

(Supra), the another Co-ordinate Bench of this High Court has also dismissed the bunch of writ petition being lead case as **D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 988/2026 Sunil Jangid & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** vide judgment dated **21.01.2026**.

5. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed off in terms of the order passed in case of **Ratan Lal Palsaniya** (supra) and **Sunil Jangid** (supra).

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J

(SUDESH BANSAL),J