


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1529/2026

Arshad Qureshi S/o Makbool Qureshi, Aged About 21 Years, R/o
F 252, Rajeev Nagar, N.b.c. Road, Hatwara, Jaipur, Rajasthan

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police,
Directorate Of Police, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. CSB Bank, Through Branch Manager At C-139, Vidyut
Nagar, Chitrakoot, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302021

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Anita Goyal with
Ms. Garima Yadav and
Mr. Naman Jain

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Devakriti Vashishtha for
Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

06/04/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with
the following prayers:

*"It is therefore respectfully prayed that the Writ
Petition may kindly be accepted and allowed:-*

*(a) And respondent no. 2 be directed to remove the
debit freeze on the bank account no.
073408345739190001 (CSB Bank, IFSC- CODE CSBK
0000734, Branch- Chitrakoot (734), Jaipur)*

or

*Respondent no. 2 be directed to unfreeze the account
no. 073408345739190001 (CSB Bank, IFSC- CODE
CSBK 0000734, Branch- Chitrakoot (734), Jaipur)
apart from a lien on the disputed amount.*

*Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court
deems just and proper may kindly be passed in
favour of the petitioner."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
has never misused the aforesaid bank account for the purpose of

illegal transactions and has not committed any cyber crime and has no relation whatsoever to the alleged fraudulent transaction(s).

3. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the Investigating Agencies and will appear before the Bank Authorities and the Investigating Agencies as and when called upon.

4. Therefore, learned counsel prays that while the disputed amount which has been received in the petitioner's account may be frozen, the amount other than the disputed amount may kindly be allowed to be withdrawn and the petitioner may be allowed to operate and carry out the banking transactions from the said bank account.

5. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondent – State submits that, as per the information received, the disputed amount credited in the petitioner's bank account is Rs.9,500/-.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent further submits that the petitioner may be directed not to discontinue the bank account until the investigation is completed or the criminal case is decided.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent – State submits that as the petitioner is the beneficiary of an amount involved in a financial cyber fraud complaint and the investigation is undergoing, no interference is called for at this stage.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees not to discontinue the bank account until the investigation is complete.

9. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

10. In view of the submissions so made, this Court is of the considered view that merely because a certain amount has been

transferred to the petitioner's bank account in an alleged fraudulent transaction, the act of freezing the entire bank account and imposing complete restriction on banking transactions at this stage will seriously prejudice the rights of the petitioner. At the most, the Bank can keep a lien on the amount to the extent it relates to the alleged fraudulent transaction(s) credited in the petitioner's bank account.

11. Consequently, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent – Bank to de-freeze the bank account **No. 073408345739190001** of the petitioner maintained at CSB Bank, IFSC- CODE CSBK 0000734, Branch- Chitrakoot (734), Jaipur, positively within a period of three days from the date of submission of certified copy of this order and the petitioner may be allowed to operate and carry out banking transactions in the said bank account over and above the disputed amount, in accordance with law. However, the disputed amount i.e., **Rs.9,500/-** which has been credited in the petitioner's bank account in connection with the alleged fraudulent transaction(s) shall remain frozen.

12. It is made clear that the petitioner will co-operate with the Bank Authorities and the Investigating Agencies and will appear before them as and when required. It is also ordered that the petitioner shall not close or discontinue the bank account till the Investigating Agencies and Bank Authorities permit the petitioner to do so.

13. It is further ordered that after investigation, if the involvement of the petitioner is found in any illegal transaction, the petitioner shall be liable to pay amount involved in the

aforsaid illegal transaction and will face inquiry/investigation as per law.

14. Needless to say, that this order has been passed only in the context of de-freezing of the bank account of the petitioner and in no manner is to be construed as any determination with respect to the merits of the financial cyber fraud complaint under question.

15. Additionally, it is directed that the petitioner shall ensure that all the compliances required for maintaining and operating the bank account with the respondent – Bank are duly complied with.

16. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J