

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1266/2026

Kamal S/o Pyarelal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Khajura, Police
Station Karvar, District Bundi (Rajasthan)
(Presently confined to Sub Jail, Nainwa, Bundi)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vikas Kabra with Mr. Rohit Rajliwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA
Order

25/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 142/2025 registered at Police Station Karvar, District Bundi for the offence(s) under Section(s) 108 B.N.S.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the deceased and the petitioner got married fifteen years ago and have two children born out of their wedlock. During this period, no allegation of demanding dowry was ever levelled against the petitioner or his family, by the deceased or her family. Learned counsel also submits there was no instigation on the part of the petitioner to the deceased to commit suicide. He further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, he is in custody since long and no recovery is required to be made from him. He also submits that charge-sheet has already been

filed in the matter and trial of the case will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, and therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, material available on record, the fact that petitioner has no criminal antecedent, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is **allowed** and accused-petitioner **Kamal S/o Pyarelal** is ordered to be released on bail, provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that the petitioner shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when he is called upon to do so.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J