

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1172/2026

Sunhari S/o Bhagwansingh, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of
Iklera, Police Station Deeg, District Deeg (At Present Accused
Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Sinsinwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

17/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 359/2025 registered at Police Station Deeg, District Deeg for offences under Sections 121(2), 132, 189(2), 109(1), 324(2), 303(2) of B.N.S.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is an innocent person, has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that no specific overt act has been assigned to the petitioner, in the FIR and the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. Learned counsel also submits that two co-accused namely, Mansingh @ Mansho and Saudan have already been enlarged on bail by the trial Court vide orders dated 12.12.2025 and 19.12.2025 passed in Criminal

Misc. Case Nos. 278/2025 and 279/2025 respectively. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since long; no recovery is required to be made from the petitioner, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and the trial of the case will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody, therefore, he prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove and submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender and as many as 15 cases are also pending against him.

4. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; the arguments advanced by both the parties and the facts that the injuries sustained by injured are simple in nature, co-accused have been enlarged on bail by the trial court, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial of the case will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is **allowed** and the accused-petitioner, namely **Sunhari S/o Bhagwansingh**, is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any

court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not indulge in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. The accused-petitioner is directed to mark his presence before the concerned Police Station on the 25th day of every month till conclusion of trial and the SHO of the concerned Police Station shall forward petitioner's attendance report to the trial Court on the same day of each month.

8. The accused petitioner is also directed to submit his present address along with his mobile number and in case of any change to again submit his address and mobile number to the concerned SHO and the concerned SHO shall verify his address and mobile number, from time to time.

9. In case of any breach of the aforesaid condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be at liberty to move an application against the petitioner for cancellation of bail before the concerned Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J