




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 197/2026
CNR: RJHC020043212026 | URN: CCP / 214U / 2026

Mukesh Kumar Yadav Son Of Late Shri Leelaram Yadav, Aged
About 35 Years, Resident Of Ospur, Tehsil Bansoor, District
Kotputli-Behror (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Chief Secretary,
Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Dr. Jogaram, Additional Chief Secretary, Department Of
Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan. Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Priyanka Goswami, District Collector, Kotputli-Behror.
4. Anuag Harit, Sub Divisional Officer, Bansoor, District
Kotputli-Behror.
5. Gajendra Singh Rathore, Tehsildar, Tehsil Bansoor, District
Kotputli-Behror.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Prakash Chand Yadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surya Pratap Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

24/08/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner
alleging non-compliance of the order dated 08.08.2025 passed in
SB CWP No. 11418/2025.
2. By the order dated 08.08.2025, the Court directed the
respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner which
he has already preferred.



3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that in compliance of the order dated 08.08.2025, the representation submitted by the petitioner, was proceeded and decided vide order dated 25.11.2025.
4. Against the order passed on the representation made by the petitioner, one of the parties/aggrieved person preferred an appeal before the Additional District Collector, Kotputli Behror, which was numbered as Appeal No. 26/2026.
5. The Appellate Authority, vide its order dated 07.05.2026, set aside the order dated 25.11.2025 and remanded the matter back to the Tehsildar, Bansur for deciding afresh after allowing opportunity of hearing and leading evidence to the other parties.
6. After the matter being remanded by the Appellate Authority, the same has to be proceeded in accordance with law by allowing opportunity of hearing and leading evidence to all the parties.
7. In view of the aforesaid facts, in opinion of this Court, the respondents have made substantial compliance of the order, and therefore this contempt petition is disposed of.
8. Contempt notices are discharged.
9. It is made clear that disposal of this contempt petition shall in non manner affect the proceedings before the Tehsildar, who may proceed independently.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J