


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 474/2026

Satveer Singh S/o Shri Bijendra Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Gujar Patti Arej Police Station Balghat, District Karauli, Raj. (At Present Petitioner Confined At District Jail Karauli).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manish Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP
For Complainant	:	Mr. Deshraj Sain Mr. Lokesh Kumar Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

09/04/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.231/2025 registered at Police Station Balghat, District Karauli for offences under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the police has concluded the investigation with theory of last-seen evidence but no ocular evidence is available on record indicating the involvement of petitioner in this matter. He also submits that the FIR was lodged after a delay of approximately 13 days, which

casts serious doubts on the veracity of the prosecution's story. Petitioner is behind the bars since 26.11.2025. Charge-sheet has been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application and submit that a shirt belonging to the deceased was recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that there is call detail record showing that the petitioner, the co-accused-Rajvir, and the deceased were present together at the relevant time on the date of the incident, which reflects the involvement of the petitioner in the matter. It is also submitted that the statements of the material witnesses support the case of the prosecution. Therefore, in view of the aforesaid factual matrix, the petitioner is not entitled to the benefit of bail.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and the material available on record, especially the nature of the allegation, recovery of the shirt as well as the call detail of the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, I am not inclined to grant the benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is dismissed.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J