

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2487/2026

Indra Jeet Singh S/o Purushottam Singh Rao, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Vpo Bichoon, Tehsil Mojmabad, District Jaipur, Rajasthan (Roll No. 295575).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Medical, Health And Family Welfare Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Special Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Special Secretary, Medical Health F.w. Department And Mission Director, National Health Mission (N.h.m.), Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
4. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, State Agriculture Management Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ram Pratap Saini, Adv. with
Mr. Aamir Khan, Adv. &
Ms. Aditi Chaurasiya, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

16/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner submitted application form pursuant to direct recruitment of various contractual post of NHM and RAJMES-2025 for the post of contractual Community Health Officer. He submits that petitioner belongs to OBC category and as per advertisement dated 28.01.2025, maximum age limit of a candidate of OBC category as on 01.01.2026 would be 45 years. Learned counsel

for the petitioner relies upon notification dated 23.09.2022 issued by Joint Secretary, Department of Personnel, whereby various service Rules were amended so as to insert a proviso in the relevant rule of age of candidate and he submits that as per the amendment incorporated vide notification dated 23.09.2022 a candidate, who was within age limit on 31.12.2020 shall be treated as within age limit by 31.12.2024.

2. Learned counsel submits that the respondents have not issued any advertisement till 31.12.2024, therefore, benefit of notification dated 23.09.2022 should be further enlarged even for the advertisement which has been issued first time after 31.12.2024.

4. After raising such submission, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to submit a representation before the respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievances.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation before the respondent No.2, who shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 60 days from the receipt of representation along with copy of this order.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J