

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 606/2001
URN: CMA / 1061U / 2001

Tripti Kumar Kothari S/o Late Shri Ramchandra Bhonsa

-----Appellant

Versus

Union of India and Ors.

-----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Ms. Prachi Saini for Ms. Suruchi Kasliwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Nitin Jain Mr. C.S. Sinha

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

29/06/2026

1. Appeal comes up on a misc. application (1/2026) filed by and on behalf of respondent No.6-Raj Kumar Kothari seeking his substitution in place of deceased respondent No.7- Shri Prakash Chand on the basis of a registered Will dated 02.06.2007.
2. Counsel for appellant does not dispute the registered Will and substitution of Raj Kumar Kothari as legal representative of deceased respondent No.7. There is no other contestant to question the Will by Prakash Chand in favour of Raj Kumar Kothari, hence, in that view, for the purpose of contention of this appeal, name of Raj Kumar Kothari is permitted to be substituted in place of deceased respondent No.7 as his legal representative.
3. Amended cause title be filed within a period of two weeks.
4. Application (1/2026) stands disposed of accordingly.
5. Another application (2/2026) has been filed by and on behalf of respondent No.3 to place the documents in their power and

possession on record, which has been stated to be material relevant to the issue involved in this appeal. Instant appeal arises against the arbitral award in respect of acquisition of land.

6. Counsel for appellant and counsel for other respondents do not have objection to take the documents on record.

7. In that view, application stands allowed. Documents, enclosed with the application, are taken on record with liberty to appellant and other respondents to file documents in rebuttal, if so desired.

8. Let this appeal be listed for hearing.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J