

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Revision Petition No. 12/2026

1. Smt. Shanti Devi W/o Shri Kamla Kishor D/o Shri Kailash Saini, aged about 37 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur.
2. Smt. Madhubala Saini D/o Shri Kailash Saini, aged about 35 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur.
3. Smt. Rekha W/o Shri Sandeep Saini D/o Shri Kailash Saini, aged about 30 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur.
4. Smt. Jyoti Saini D/o Kailash Saini, aged about 26 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur.
5. Shri Deepak Saini S/o Shri Kailash Saini, aged about 25 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District Jaipur.
6. Shri Vijay Saini S//o Shri Kailash Saini, aged about 22 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Late Kailash S/o Shri Bhairu, aged about 57 Years, Resident of Village Hadota, Ringus Road, Tehsil-Chomu, District-Jaipur 1/1 Smt. Mewa Devi W/o Late Kailash Chand
2. Smt. Nina Gupta W/o Shri Sanjay Gupta, aged about 50 Years, Resident of 4/2786, Gali Number 3, Bihari Colony, Shahdara, Delhi.
3. Sirohi Griha Nirman Sahkari Samiti Limited, Registration No. 3240/L, Jaipur, Rajasthan Through Administrative Registrar Co-Operative Society, Address-Mini Secretariat, Fifth Floor, Jaipur.
4. Bidaari Devi W/o Shri Daalchand Saini, aged about 75 Years, Resident of Ward No. 1, Chandji-Wali Dhani, Chomu, District Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mitesh Ajmera Mr. Shyam Pratap Singh Chouhan
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to *bona fide* inadvertence, the petitioner filed the present civil revision petition, challenging the order dated 09.12.2025 passed by the learned Additional District Judge No.3, Jaipur in Civil Suit No.60/2019, whereby the application filed by the defendant-respondent under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC has been allowed and the suit filed by the plaintiff-petitioner has been dismissed. He further submits that the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present revision petition, with liberty to assail the impugned order dated 09.12.2025 by filing a regular first appeal.

2. Heard.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the present revision petition is permitted to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

4. The Registry is directed to return the *Vakalatnama* and certified copy of the impugned order to the petitioner after retaining photocopy of the same.

5. The application (I.A. No.1/2026) stand dismissed as not pressed.

6. Any other pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J