

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 406/2026

M/s Ramkalyan Choudhary

-----Petitioner

Versus

Jaipur Municipal Corporation & Anr.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rahul Kamwar with
Mr. Vaibhav Nirmal
Mr. Shubham Rohila
Mr. Lakshya Sharma
Mr. Bharat Todi

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Manoj Chaudhary for
Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

12/01/2026

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the issuance of notice inviting bid No.61/2025-26, issued by Office of Deputy Commissioner (Garden), Nagar Nigam, Jaipur, with respect to maintenance of parks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was issued work order dated 27.12.2024, and the validity of the said work was till 26.12.2025, which could be extended for further period of one year, subject to agreement between both the parties.

Learned counsel submits that the work performed by the petitioner during the said period was very much satisfactory in nature, which further stands corroborated by the letter dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure-4), issued by respondent – Nagar Nigam, Jaipur, vide which, it was specifically recorded that the work performed by the petitioner is satisfactory.

Learned counsel submits that the respondents vide their letter dated 25.11.2025, have sought consent of the petitioner

with respect to extension of the work for a further period of one year on the rates mentioned in the said letter, which was duly replied by the petitioner vide his reply dated 26.11.2025, and his consent was communicated to the respondents.

Learned counsel submits that after submission of the said consent, upon being asked, there was a legitimate expectation on the part of the petitioner to believe that the work would be extended for a further period of one year.

However, surprisingly and without any justification, in an absolutely arbitrary manner, the respondents have issued the impugned tender for the very same work, which cannot be justified.

Learned counsel also submits that the tender is scheduled to open today at 3:00 PM, in pursuance to the corrigendum dated 07.01.2026 issued by the respondents.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, issue notices to the respondents, returnable within four weeks.

Mr. Manoj Chaudhary, learned counsel puts in appearance on behalf of Mr. G.S. Gill, learned Additional Advocate General, for both the respondents and hence, service is complete. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply to the writ petition.

List the matter after four weeks.

In the meanwhile and till further orders, further proceedings in consequence to the impugned notice inviting bid No.61/2025-26 shall remain stayed. However, the respondents would be at liberty to file appropriate application seeking vacation/modification of this order.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J