

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10654/2025

Ajit Parihar S/o Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 29 Years, R/o
Baba Ramdev Mandir, Nandoli Mertiya, District Nagaur,
Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan.
Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary,
Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected with

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 809/2026

Sonu Bano D/o Mahboob Khan, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Vpo
Gangiyasar, Tehsil Bissau, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan.
Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary,
Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5690/2025

Sanwar Mal Jat S/o Ramavtar Jat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o
Village Raghunathpura, Post Rooppura, Tehsil Uniyara, District
Tonk, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner



1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7146/2025

Mamta Barar D/o Mani Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Vpo-Titanwar, Tehsil Gudha Gorji, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Educaiton, Rajasthan Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculutre Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12050/2025

Meena Choudhary D/o Dhanna Lal Jat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Vpo Sohela, Tehsil Peeplu, District Tonk, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13457/2025

Anurag Choudhary S/o Hansram Choudhary, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village Birsangwas, Post Balluwas, Tehsil Mundawar, District Khairthal- Tijara, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Lalita Choudhary D/o Hajari Lal Choudhary, Through Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16086/2025

Raghuveer Gujer S/o Shri Devi Lal Gujer, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Kunjer, Tehsil Atru, District Baran

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Chairman, State Agriculture Management Institute Premises, Durgapura, Jaipur

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19051/2025

Nisha Meena D/o Sher Singh Meena, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Vpo - Piloda, Tehsil Wazirpur, District Sawai Madhopur,



Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7952/2025

Rakesh Meena S/o Sukhpal Meena, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village Beejalwa, Post Suwaniya, Tehsil Nainwa, District Bundi, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14949/2025

Prachi Chaturvedi D/o Rajesh Chaturvedi, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 37-A, Sunita Colony, Rampura Road, Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan. (Roll No.4196036)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Maya Sharma D/o Chandra Prakash Sharma, Through Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Roll No. 4170946)
5. Kanchan Rathor D/o Ishar Singh, Through Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Roll No. 4203339)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14955/2025

Nisha D/o Pyare Lal, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village Naya Gaon, Post Hatoondi, Tehsil Mundawar, District Khairthal-Tijara, Rajasthan. (Roll No. 6105876)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan. Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Agriculture Management Institute Building, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Abha Jangid D/o Madan Lal Jangid, Through Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Roll No. 6152214)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ram Pratap Saini, Adv. with Mr. Aamir Khan, Adv. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Saini, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Devranjan Singh Shekhawat, Adv. for Mr. Nalin G. Narain, Adv. Mr. S. S. Naruka, AAG with Mr. Jitendra K. Takar, Adv. & Ms. Ritika Naruka, Adv. Mr. Narendra Singh Choudhary, Adv. Mr. Nikhil Pal Singh, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA**Order****20/05/2026**

1. Learned counsel appearing for both the parties jointly submitted that the issue involved in these writ petitions are squarely covered by the judgment dated 11.05.2026 passed by this Court in the case of **Yasmeen Bee D/o Nijamuddin & ors. Vs. State Of Rajasthan & Ors. & Ors. connected matters in S.B. CWP No. 13045/2025**, wherein following directions were given:

*"17. Consequently, while allowing these writ petitions, it is directed that the respondents shall determine correct number of vacancies on the basis of guidelines given by the Division Bench of this Court as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Namonarayan Sharma & Others (supra)** and after assessing the correct number of vacancies, the respondents shall reexamine the claims of the petitioners afresh and, in case the petitioners are otherwise eligible in terms of the advertisement and are found to have secured higher marks, on the basis of revised results, than the last appointed candidate in their respective category, the respondents shall offer appointment to the petitioners, subject to availability of the vacancies, after redetermining their existence in accordance with the guidelines laid down in the case of **Namonarayan Sharma & Others (supra)** within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this judgment. The petitioners shall also be entitled to notional benefits of pay fixation and seniority qua candidates appointed earlier despite securing lesser marks, however, without any entitlement to actual monetary arrears for the past period. Simultaneously, it is directed that no candidate already appointed pursuant to the original answer key shall be terminated solely on account of subsequent revision of the answer key, since they were not at fault.*

18. However, the benefit of this judgment shall remain confined only to the petitioners before this Court and to those candidates, who had already approached this Court and whose writ petitions are pending consideration. Any

fence-sitter who remained indolent and failed to agitate his rights within reasonable time shall not be entitled to claim benefit on the basis of the present judgment."

2. In view of above consensus arrived at between learned counsel for the parties, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of these writ petitions in the same terms and with the same directions as given in the case of **Yasmeen Bee D/o Nijamuddin & Ors. (supra)**.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

4. Office is directed to place a copy of this order on record of each writ petitions.

(ANAND SHARMA),J