

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Fifth Bail Application No. 252/2026
URN: CRLMB / 464U / 2026

Mahesh Chand Saini S/o Shri Ghasiram Saini, R/o Nabi Ka Bag,
Rajgarh, District Alwar (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Dis-
trict Jail, Alwar).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Girish Khandelwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Tej Prakash Sharma, Special PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

01/07/2026

1. This fifth bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, on behalf of the petitioner, in connection with FIR No.06/2024 registered at Police Station Central Narcotics Bureau, District Jaipur for the offences punishable under Sections 8/22 & 8/29 of NDPS Act.
2. The previous bail applications preferred on behalf of the accused petitioner were dismissed vide orders dated 13.12.2024, 25.02.2024, 27.05.2025 and 20.08.2025.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that last bail application of the petitioner was dismissed vide order dated 20.08.2025 while giving liberty to renew the prayer of bail after recording the testimony of Seizure Officer of this case. Counsel submits that Seizure Officer has been examined during the course of the trial and there are material contradictions in his statement.

Counsel submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that the prosecution has failed to establish that the petitioner was in exclusive possession of alleged contraband i.e. Tramadol. It is further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 19.10.2024 and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as till date only one witness has been examined and other has been examined partly. Thus, further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned counsel appearing for Central Narcotics Bureau submits that matter pertains to the recovery of contraband of commercial quantity and co-accused person has already been declined the benefit of bail by the coordinate Bench.

5. I have considered the submissions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced at bar, especially the fact that the matter pertains to recovery of commercial quantity of contraband and co-accused person has already been declined the benefit of bail by the coordinate Bench; as well as looking to the nature and gravity of the offence, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Misc. Vth Bail Application stands dismissed.

8. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J