

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 29/2026

Satveer Gurjar S/o Ramraj Gurjar, Aged About 20 Years, resident of Badeen Ki Dhani, Gurjar Badoda, Baman Badoda, District Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajneesh Gupta with Ms. Chanchal, Mr. Vikas Nainiwal and Mr. Rahul Sonal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP Mr. Paras Jain, for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

06/05/2026

1. The instant application under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 is filed by the petitioner-applicant **Satveer Gurjar S/o Ramraj Gurjar** seeking anticipatory bail in event of arrest in connection with FIR No.270/2025 dated 19.08.2025 registered at P.S. Galtagate, District - Jaipur City (North), for alleged offence under Sections 140(3), 307, 308(2), 127(2) and 115(2) of BNS, 2023.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has falsely implicated in a concocted criminal case. He also submitted that the applicant may be arrested due to ulterior motive and to fulfill personal *vendetta* of complainant though there is no evidence against the petitioner-applicant. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law abiding citizen

having no previous criminal antecedents, but he assures full cooperation with Investigating Agency.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that pursuant to order dated 11.02.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation and now he is not required for custodial interrogation. He also submits that for incident of 18th August 2025, a report is registered on 19th August 2025 and that too without explanation of delay. He further submits that the allegations in FIR clearly indicate that due to matrimonial dispute with his wife, the complainant Kishan Gosavami has registered a false case. He also submits that the present petitioner was not named in FIR but named person Ravindra Kumar was already granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court on 30.10.2025. He also submits that the allegation upon the present petitioner is for assisting and indulging in the crime with Ravinder Gosavami and others.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant has referred judgment in case of **Jai Prakash Singh Vs. State of Bihar and Anr.** reported as **AIR 2012 SC 1676** and submitted that as per Police report the present petitioner is required for custodial interrogation and grant of bail would defeat the purpose of investigation. He submits that this is not an exceptional case.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material available on record submitted by both the parties.
7. Brief facts are that for incident of 18th August 2025, the complainant Kishan Gosavami has lodged a report at police station Galtagate, District Jaipur City (North), in the evening of 19th August 2025 and that too against his brother-in-law Ravinder Gosavami and others. The petitioner is not named in FIR. The police has investigated the matter and a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has directed the petitioner to join the investigation but after providing protection to the petitioner. A Co-ordinate Bench has also granted anticipatory bail under section 482 of BNSS to the main accused Ravindra Kumar on 30.10.2025. in **S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13876/2025.**
8. We have considered the police investigation wherein it has been mentioned that the investigation from OP Meena and Satveer Gurjar is remaining. The police want to recover Swift Dzire Car No. RJ-25-TA-3126, mobile phone of complainant and further conduct site verification from the present petitioner. The main accused has already been granted bail.
9. In case of **Jai Prakash Singh Vs. State of Bihar and Anr.** (supra), Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed that anticipatory bail being an extraordinary privilege, should be granted only in exceptional cases. In the instant case, main accused has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail, therefore, this judgment is not applicable for the present petitioner.

10. Having considered the police report and the material placed on record for perusal, the matter is still at the investigation stage, but the investigation so far indicates that the petitioner-applicant is not required for custodial interrogation. Considering the assurance of cooperation and also the fact that there is no criminal antecedents against the petitioner-applicant, without expressing any opinion on role of the present petitioner-applicant, it is appropriate to grant anticipatory bail.
11. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the bail application under Section 482 BNSS is hereby allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest of petitioner-applicant **Satveer Gurjar S/o Ramraj Gurjar**, in connection with FIR No.270/2025 dated 19.08.2025 registered at P.S. Galtagate, District - Jaipur City (North), for alleged offence under Sections 140(3), 307, 308(2), 127(2) and 115(2) of BNS, 2023, the applicant **Satveer Gurjar S/o Ramraj Gurjar** shall be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-
- (i) Till completion of investigation, the applicant shall not leave the jurisdiction of the State of Rajasthan without prior permission of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer as and when required in relation to present criminal case.

(iii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Investigating Officer during investigation.

(iv) The applicant shall not tamper with any evidence or try to influence any witness in any manner.

(v) The applicant shall disclose his passport number, if any, to Investigating Officer and shall not leave the Country without prior permission from the concerned Court.

(vi) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.

(vii) Failure to comply with any of the aforementioned conditions, shall entail the cancellation of the anticipatory bail order.

12. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J