

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1603/2026

1. Makhinder Singh @ Lovely S/o Malla Singh Tarkhan, Aged About 25 Years, Resident Of Near Agrasen Bhawan, Preetnagar, Police Station City Mansa, Punjab. (At Present Lodged In Distt. Jail, Hanumangarh)
2. Manpreet Singh Urf Mani S/o Gurucharan Singh Urf Than Singh, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Gali No. 9, Near Ravidas Dharamshala, Dharampura, Police Station Kalanwali, Tehsil Dabwali, District Sirsa Haryana. (At Present Lodged In Distt. Jail, Hanumangarh)

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 51/2026

Arvind Delu Alias Dara Delu S/o Late Atma Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Ward No 11 Bishnoi Mohalla Near Bsnl Exchange Sangria At Present Dhani Chak 1 M J D Ratan Pura Police Station Sangria District Hanumangarh Rajasthan (At Present Lodged In District Jail Hanumangarh)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Mr. Rohit Kumar Mr. SR Godara Mr. Sunil Dhaka
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed by the applicants **Makhinder Singh @ Lovely S/o Malla Singh, Manpreet Singh @ Mani S/o Gurucharan Singh @ Than Singh, and Arvind Delu @ Dara Delu S/o Late Atma Ram** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.505/2025** registered at **Police Station Sangria District Hanumangarh** for the offence(s)

under **Sections 103(1), 332(2), 61(2), 111(2)(A), 111(3), 111(4), 111(5) BNS.**

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the case. Challan has been filed. He submits that the main accused is Jalendhar Singh @ Amratpal who actually shot the bullet and Hardeep's presence with him has been captured on CCTV. Learned counsel further submits that there is allegation that call details of the main accused with the other co-accused are available, and one of the applicant provided the car. He further submits that applicants are not the main accused. Learned counsel further submits that the applicants are behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

4. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, and perusing the challan papers and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicants on bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicants shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J