

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15802/2025
CNR: RJHC011192212025
URN: CRLMB / 32949U / 2025

Bhagirath S/o Shri Jala Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Rajeev
Gandhi Colony, Pal Link Road, District Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	None present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH
Order

14/07/2026

1. The applicant has filed the present bail application under Section 482 of BNSS being aggrieved against the order dated 12.12.2025 passed by the learned Special Judge (NDPS Cases) No.1, Jodhpur Metropolitan (Rajasthan) in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application No.691/2025, whereby the bail application filed by the accused-applicant under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. was rejected. The accused-applicant is apprehending his arrest, pursuant to the F.I.R. No.256/2025 registered at Police Station Luni, District Jodhpur City West for the offences punishable under Sections 8/15 of the NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 111(3) of BNS.

2. None appears on behalf of the applicant. None appeared on behalf of the applicant, when the matter was listed before the Court on 07.04.2026, 29.04.2026 and 11.06.2026. Even today, when the matter is called out, no one is present to represent the applicant.

4. Briefly stated, the prosecution case is that, acting upon secret information, the police laid a blockade on 29.11.2025. During the blockade, a car bearing Registration No. RJ-19-CH-1259 was intercepted. Upon search of the vehicle, which was occupied by Ganpat Lal and Bhagirath, 20.185 kilograms of poppy husk was allegedly recovered. During investigation, co-accused Bhagirath is stated to have disclosed that he had purchased two bags of the aforesaid contraband from the co-accused Subhash and handed over one bag to Bhagirath S/o Sh. Jala Ram (the present applicant) and was carrying the other bag to his house. On the basis of the said allegations, the FIR in question came to be registered.

5. Having considered the material available on record, this Court is of the *prima facie* view that there is sufficient material connecting the applicant with the commission of the alleged offence. At this stage, it cannot be said that the applicant has been falsely implicated. The material collected during investigation discloses a *prima facie* nexus between the applicant and the crime in question. It is also pertinent to note that, as per the charge-sheet filed in the connected case, the present applicant had already been arrested on 25.01.2026.

6. In view of the said fact, the present application seeking anticipatory bail has been rendered infructuous.

7. Consequently, the present anticipatory bail application, having become infructuous, is dismissed accordingly.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J