

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1321/2026

Raju Alias Rajkumar S/o Shri Panchulal, Aged About 40 Years,
Resident Of Rampura, P.s. Shakkargarh, District Bhilawara.
(At Present Lodged At Sub-Jail Jahajpur.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15573/2025

1. Ramdev S/o Narayanlal, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)
2. Bhanwarlal S/o Mangilal, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)
3. Godulal S/o Hiralal, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)
4. Jamanalal S/o Sukha, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura).
5. Mukesh Lal S/o Sukhalal, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)
6. Mahaveer S/o Godu, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura, P.s. Shakkargarh, Distt. Bhilwara.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)
7. Govind S/o Godulal, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of Mohanpura, P.s. Shakkargarh, Distt. Bhilwara.
(At Present Lodged At Sub Jail Shahpura)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Chandra Prakash Rajora
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The petitioners have preferred these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.143/2025 registered at Police Station Shakkargarh, District Bhilwara for offence under Sections 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2) & 110 of BNS 2023.
2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, therefore, they are being decided by this common order.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that allegations against the present petitioners are of inflicting injuries to injured Raj Kiran, Ram Kunwar, Sultan and Sukan. He argued that there is omnibus allegations against the petitioners and no specific overt act has been assigned to them. There was no specific injury on vital part of the body of the injured persons. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner Raju is behind the bars since 22.12.2025 and rest of the petitioners from 10.12.2025, without any criminal past and charge-sheet has been filed. The trial of the case may take considerable time and no further custodial interrogation is required, hence the bail applications of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bails to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Raju Alias Rajkumar S/o Shri Panchulal, Ramdev S/o Narayanlal, Bhanwarlal S/o Mangilal, Godulal S/o Hiralal, Jamanalal S/o Sukha, Mukesh Lal S/o Sukhalal, Mahaveer S/o Godu and Govind S/o Godulal**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bail bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each of the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J