

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15305/2025

1. Sudhir S/o Manohar Lal, Aged About 42 Years, House No. 23 C Indraprastha Colony, Sector No. 14, Thana Savina, District Udaipur Raj. (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)
2. Yajat S/o Vijay, Aged About 23 Years, O House No.42 B Shakti Nagar, Thana Bhupalpura, District Udaipur At Present House No. 132, E - Class, Near Shiv Temple,thana Pratap Nagar, District Udaipur (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)
3. Kedar S/o Ratanlal, Aged About 37 Years, House No.16 Rishabh Dev Colony Sector No.9 B Block, Thana Hiranmagri, District Udaipur (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)
4. Manish S/o Manohar, Aged About 40 Years, House No. 23 C Indraprastha Colony, Sector No. 14, Thana Savina, District Udaipur Raj. (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)
5. Sudesh S/o Mahendra Singh, Aged About 23 Years, Village Ronija Than,meena Mohalla, Thana Khedli, District Alwar (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)
6. Sagar S/o Ratan Lal, Aged About 29 Years, House No. A - 20 Keshav Nagar, Jiyav Budiya Road Bhesthan Thana Bhesthan, District Surat Gujrat (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Mr. Naresh Aswani
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

26/02/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	460/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	27.11.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Savina
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 318(4), 319(2), 112(2) and 61(2) of the BNS, Section 66 and 66-D of the IT Act, 2000 and Section 3/4 of Rajasthan Public Gambling Ordinance, 1949
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against them. The petitioners were arrested in connection with the present crime, however, as a matter of fact the principal accused in the present crime are Punit, Dev, Vishal and Avinash. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 27.11.2025 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application, however, he is not in a position to refute the fact that the principal allegation in the present crime is against the accused persons namely Punit, Dev, Vishal and Avinash.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also the fact that principal allegation in the present crime is against the accused persons namely Punit, Dev, Vishal and Avinash and the petitioners are in judicial custody since 27.11.2025, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioners- **Sudhir S/o Manohar Lal, Yajat S/o Vijay, Kedar S/o Ratanlal, Manish S/o Manohar, Sudesh S/o Mahendra Singh** and **Sagar S/o Ratan Lal**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided they execute personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J