

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 10621/2025

1. Ven Singh S/o Ratan Singh, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Maroodi,barmer Raj.
2. Ran Singh S/o Koshal Singh, Aged About 18 Years, R/o Mahabar Peethal, Mahabar, Barmer Raj.
3. Ramesh Singh S/o Kishan Singh, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Hathma,barmer Raj.
4. Dungar Singh S/o Berisal Singh, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Hamir Singh Ki Dhani, Barmer Adrash Agor, Barmer Raj.
5. Girdhar Singh S/o Hakam Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Jasai,barmer Raj.
6. Durjan Singh S/o Bheem Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Kelnor, Barmer

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Balu Singh S/o Than Singh, R/o Langeria, Barmer

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 3356/2025

1. Prithvi Singh S/o Aamba Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Mahabar Barmer (Raj)
2. Deravar Singh S/o Aamba Singh, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Mahabar Barmer (Raj)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Balu Singh S/o Than Singh, R/o Langeria Barmer

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Muktesh Maheshwari.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, P.P. Mr. Niranjan Singh Shekhawat, for complainant.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI
Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant criminal misc. petitions under Section 528 BNSS have been filed by the petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0570/2024 dated 12.10.2024, registered at Police Station Kotwali Barmer, District Barmer, and all consequential proceedings

for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(2), 308(2) and 61(2)(b) of BNS on the basis of compromise entered between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners and complainant jointly submit that in compliance of the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the parties submitted their compromise before the Investigating Officer who verified the same. He submits that in view of the compromise the complainant is not inclined to proceed further in the matter.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor submits that the Investigating Officer has verified the compromise and the report is also placed before this Court. He submits that the Investigating Officer after verification have given a report that the parties have entered into a compromise.

4. The report of the Investigating Officer is taken on record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]** and urges, that in these circumstances, the impugned FIR and all consequential proceedings may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent -complainant concurs the factum of compromise and submits that in view of the compromise, the complainant does not want to proceed further in the matter.

7. In view of compromise arrived at between the parties and applying the ratio in the decision of **Gian Singh (Supra)**, This

Court deems it just and proper to invoke inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of BNSS.

8. Accordingly, the present misc. petitions are allowed. The FIR No.0570/2024 dated 12.10.2024, registered at Police Station Kotwali Barmer, District Barmer, and all ancillary proceedings for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(2), 308(2) and 61(2) (b) of BNS lodged against the petitioners, are hereby quashed and set aside on the basis of the above compromise deed.

9. Stay application also disposed.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

9-10- S.Sharma/-