

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23986/2025

Sulochana W/o Shri Chitramal, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of
Toshina, Tehsil Didwana, District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Premaram S/o Gunesaram, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
2. Nemichand S/o Bhanwarlal, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
3. Kailashram S/o Bhanwarlal, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
4. Nathi D/o Bhanwarlal, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
5. Santosh W/o Moolchand, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
6. Chenaram S/o Moolchand, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
7. Kaluram S/o Moolchand, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
8. Sumitra D/o Moolchand, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
9. Madanlal S/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
10. Rajuram S/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
11. Gyarasi D/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
12. Meera D/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
13. Sohni D/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
14. Jhuma D/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
15. Geeta D/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).
16. Keshari W/o Gunesha, Resident Of Toshina, Tehsil And

District Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).

17. Sub-Registrar Tehsildar, Didwana, Tehsil And District
Didwana- Kuchaman (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Girdhar Singh Bhati
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

10/12/2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter was decreed vide judgment and decree dated 26.04.2017 passed by the Assistant Collector, Deedwana in Revenue Suit No.194/2013 but the same has been set aside on an appeal (Appeal No.21/2019/223/Nagaur) filed by one Premaram before the Revenue Appellate Authority considering the fact that ex parte proceedings have been wrongly drawn against Nemichand, Kailashram, Kaluram, Madanlal etc. on an appeal filed by one Premaram who was duly served with the notices of the suit. He further submits that the Revenue Board has also not taken into consideration the material fact that the appellant Premaram was duly served and the persons against whom the ex parte proceeding were drawn have not preferred any appeal.

2. Issue notice to the respondents. Returnable in four weeks. Notices of stay application be also issued.

3. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice, this Court deems it just



[CW-23986/2025]

and proper to direct both the parties to maintain status quo as regards the possession and revenue record of the suit land.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

9-amit/-