

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3582/2025

1. Nirmala Deora W/o Jitendra Saini, Aged About 23 Years,
D/o Ram Niwas, Resident Of 23 Jai Seeta Ram Nagar,
Mata Ka Than, Magra Punjla, Jodhpur At Present Reside At
Ratiyo Ki Dhani, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
2. Jitendra Saini S/o Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 27 Years,
Resident Of Ratiyo Ki Dhani, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur
(Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary,ministry Of
Home Affairs, Jaipur Raj..
2. The Commissioner Of Police, Jodhpur (Raj)..
3. The Deputy Commissioner Of Police (East), Jodhpur
(Raj)..
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur Rural (Raj..)
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Bhopalgarh,
Dist.jodhpur Rural (Raj)..
6. Station House Officer, Police Station Mata Ka Than,district
Jodhpur Raj..
7. Ram Niwas S/o Khiya Ram, R/o 23 Jai Seeta Ram Nagar,
Mata Ka Than, Magra Punjla, Jodhpur (Raj.).
8. Purkha Ram S/o Khiya Ram, Resident Of Village Birai,
Tehsil Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur (Raj)..
9. Ramrakh S/o Khiya Ram, Resident Of Village Kudi, district
Jodhpur (Raj).
10. Laxman Ram Sankhla S/o Unknown, Resident Of Village
Birai, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur Raj..

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashish Gehlot
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA**Order****09/12/2025**

1. The petitioners have preferred the present criminal writ petition under Articles 226, 227 and 21 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary directions to the respondent authorities to provide adequate security and protection to them, as they are facing grave threat of life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are of major age and they have solemnized marriage out of their own free will. However, their family members are not agreeable to their marriage and have threatened them of dire consequences.
3. He prays that adequate police protection be provided to them.
4. Though no specific instance or imminent threat has been pleaded but considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court, while taking a cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.: AIR 2006 SC 2522***, is of the opinion that if the petitioners are having any apprehension or threat perception about their life and liberty from their relatives, they may move appropriate representation before the Superintendent of Police, Jodhpur Rural indicating their concern with the name(s) of probable assailants.

5. If any such representation is moved by the petitioners, the Superintendent of Police, Jodhpur Rural shall consider the same and after analysing the factual situation pass necessary orders or take action to ward off any untoward incident.

6. It is hereby clarified that this order may not be construed to be a validation of petitioners' marriage and proof of their age. Any observation made herein shall not affect any criminal or civil proceedings initiated against the petitioners, at the instance of their relatives.

7. With these observations, this criminal misc. petition is disposed of.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J