

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23978/2025

Mukesh Kalal S/o Hansmukh Lal Kalal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Kalal Wada, Mukam, Obri, Sagwara, Dungarpur, Rajasthan - 314401.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Bank Of Baroda, Through Its Nodal Officer, Branch Obri, District Dungarpur, Rajasthan - 314401.

-----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mahip Singh

For Respondent(s) : -

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**

**Order**

**09/03/2026**

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

*"In view of the above, the petitioner prays for the following reliefs:*

*(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order, or direction in the nature, thereby directing the Respondent to immediately defreeze the bank account of the Petitioner bearing bearing no. 11840200000364 with the Bank of Baroda, Branch Obri (IFSC Code BARBOOBRIX), District Dungarpur, Rajasthan -314401, and to remove all lien/hold entries placed thereupon.*

*(ii) Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature, thereby quashing and setting aside all communications, orders, and directions, if any, whereby the Petitioner's bank account has been frozen without furnishing any prior notice, opportunity of hearing, or disclosure of reasons.*

*(iii) Issue an appropriate writ, order, or direction to the Respondents to conclude the investigation, if any, within a time-bound manner, and in the meantime to permit the Petitioner to operate his bank account at least partially for meeting essential expenses such as family*

*sustenance, educational fees, medical emergencies, and professional commitments.*

*(iv) Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondent- Bank to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

**(KULDEEP MATHUR),J**

2-divya/-