

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 24212/2025

1. Dilip S/o Late Jagdish Chandra S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 51 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
2. Mahendra S/o Late Jagdish Chandra S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 48 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
3. Ratan Singh S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 63 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
4. Gordhan Singh S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 59 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
5. Rameshwar S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 55 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
6. Om Prakash S/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 53 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
7. Kamla @ Chhoti Devi D/o Late Gangaram, Aged About 62 Years, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Kishangarh, District Ajmer.
2. Bhanwari Devi W/o Late Gangaram, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
3. Ganpatram S/o Late Gangaram, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.
4. Rampyari D/o Late Gangaram, Residents Of Bassi Mohalla, Nagaur, Tehsil And District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Harish Kumar Purohit

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT****Order****08/05/2026**

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner has been in possession of the land in question for the last more than 50 years. It is stated that considering the fact that a proposal was sent, in the year 1985, by Tehsildar Nagaur for making allotment in favour of petitioner and that Girdhawari Samvat 2024 placed on record also establishes continuous possession of petitioner, learned Revenue Appellate Authority, vide order dated 30.09.2003, allowed the appeal filed by petitioner and declared the petitioner as khatedar of land in question.
2. Learned counsel further submits that, however, learned Board of Revenue, without considering the material placed on record, vide order dated 20.11.2019, has reversed judgment passed by learned Revenue Appellate Authority. It is contended that findings recorded by learned Board of Revenue are ex facie perverse and contrary to the material available on record.
3. Matter requires consideration.
4. Issue notice. Issue notice of stay application also, returnable within a period of six weeks.
5. In the meantime, status quo with regard to land in question shall be maintained by the parties.

**(SANJEET PUROHIT),J**