



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 110/2026

Panja Singh S/o Hakam Singh, Aged About 53 Years,
Resident Of Ward No 4 Chak 13 Cdr Surewala Police Station
Tibbi District Hanumangarh Rajasthan (At Present Lodged
In District Jail Hanumangarh)

----Appellant

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Dalip Kumar S/o Achla Ram, Resident Of Chak 15
Cdr Police Station Tibbi District Hanumangarh
Rajasthan

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 2779/2025

Gogabai W/o Mahendra Kumar, Aged About 45 Years,
Resident Of Kishanpura Dikhnada, Police Station
Hanumangarh Town, At Present Ward No 4, Chak 13 Cdr,
Surewala, Police Station Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj)
(At Present Lodged In District Jail Hanumangarh)

----Appellant

Versus

1.State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Daleep Kumar S/o Achlaram, Resident Of Ward
No 5, Surewala, Tibbi, District Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan)

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot,PP None present

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

23/03/2026

1.The instant Cr.Appeals have been filed under Section 14-A (2) of SC/ST Act on behalf of accused-appellants **Panja Singh S/o Hakam Singh and Gogabai W/o Mahendra Kumar**. The appellants have been arrested in connection with **FIR No.367/2024** registered at **Police Station Tibbi, District Hanumangarh** for the offence(s) under Sections **108, 308(2)**,



308(6), 189(2) of BNS and Sections 3(1)(S) and 3(2)(V) of SC/ST Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-appellants submits that a false case has been foisted against the appellants. The accused-appellants have nothing to do with the alleged offences. Challan has been filed. He further submits that co-accused Happy Singh, Rajendar Singh and Sandeep Singh have already been enlarged on bail by this Court on 24.09.2025 and 21.11.2025 and the case of the present applicants is not distinguishable from the said co-accused enlarged on bail. He further submits that the appellants are behind the bars and their further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. Learned counsel assures that on future dates of trial, the appellants shall make themselves available either personally or through pleader and will not impede the course of trial.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

4. Considering the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-appellants on bail.

5. Accordingly, the Cr. Appeals are allowed. The impugned order dated 01.12.2025 and 24.12.2025 passed by the Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act Cases, Hanumangarh are set aside. It is ordered that the accused-appellants named above shall be enlarged on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of the learned trial



Judge for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J

78-79-Arti/-