

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 10304/2025

- 1. Himmta Ram S/o Hajari Ram, Aged About 40 Years,
Resident Of Barani Tehsil And District Nagaur
- 2. Ramu Ram, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Khadkali
Tehsil And District Nagaur

-----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
- 2. The Director General Of Police, Headquarter Rajasthan
Jaipur
- 3. Inspector General Of Police, Bikaner Range Bikaner
- 4. Superintendent Of Police, Churu
- 5. Station House Officer, Police Station Taranagar District
Churu

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shaitan Singh Hemaguda Mr. J.K. Suthar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Abhijeet Patil, ASP, Rajgarh Churu, present in person

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

09/03/2026

The present criminal misc. petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of FIR No. 0175/2025 registered at Police Station Taranagar, District Churu for the offences under Sections 8/18 and 25 of the NDPS Act.

On the last occasion, a detailed order was passed by this Court on 16.02.2026 seeking a report from the Superintendent of Police.

In view of the same, the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Churu, who has inquired into the matter, is present today along with the case diary. The report of the ASP as well as the report of the Public Prosecutor has been placed before the Court for perusal.

This Court has considered the matter. The issues raised by the petitioners and their apprehensions have been examined by the ASP. Regarding the CCTV footage which the petitioners have filed, it is stated that the same has already been filed along with the charge-sheet before the learned trial Court and the same has been sent for FSL examination.

So far as the inquiry of the DST team is concerned, the report of the ASP shows that the same has also been conducted and the CDR as well as the location has also been taken into consideration.

The challan against two persons has already been filed and further investigation is still pending. This Court has also considered the inquiry report submitted by the ASP. At this stage, this Court is convinced by the inquiry conducted by the ASP and is not inclined to proceed further in the present misc. petition which has been filed seeking fair investigation.

However, so far as the concern of the petitioners regarding the copies of the inquiry conducted by the ASP is concerned it is needless to say that the petitioners are free to file an application

before the learned trial Court and the same shall consider it in accordance with law.

In view of the observations made above, the present criminal misc. petition is disposed of accordingly.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J

52-deep/-