

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3481/2025

1. Vinita Purohit D/o Shyam Lal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o-03-Q-19 Kudi Bhagatasni Housing Board Jodhpur At Present Madawa Jaisalmer Rajasthan.
2. Mahendar Singh Charan S/o Vainidan Charan, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Madawa Jaisalmer Rajasthan

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary Department Of Home Affairs Jaipur
2. Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur
3. Superintendent Of Police, Jaisalmer
4. Sho, P.s. Kudi Bhagatasni District Jodhpur
5. Sho, P.s.bhaniyana District Jaisalmer
6. Shyam Lal S/o Bhanwar Lal, R/o Kudi Bhagatasni Housing Board Jodhpur
7. Rohit Purohit S/o Ramu Lal, R/o Kudi Bhagatasni Housing Board Jodhpur
8. Akshay S/o Kalla Ji, R/o Kudi Bhagatasni Housing Board Jodhpur
9. Govardhan S/o Kalla Ji, R/o Kudi Bhagatasni Housing Board Jodhpur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pukhraj Gehlot

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

11/12/2025

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J