

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23265/2025

1. Ramesh Chandra Varhat S/o Mogaji, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Mukam Serawara Bokhla Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Upper Primary School Kanpur Fala Modar Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
2. Shanti Lal Roat S/o Manji Roat, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Roat Falaa Dadroda Bhemai Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Upper Primary School Chhota Fala Gundalara Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
3. Sohan Lal Ahari S/o Gotam Lal Ahari, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ghatau Odawariya Ghatau Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Primary School Nai Basti, Kharwara Kharwaeda Khuniya Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
4. Renuka Kumari Katara W/o Dhanaji Katara, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Holi Chok Mukam Lodeshwar Virat Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Upper Primary School Bormata Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
5. Premila Kumari Damor D/o Dharma Damor, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Vpo - Rajiv Nagar Simalwara Tehsil Simalwara Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Primary School Bathadi East Bhadar Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
6. Hansa Kumari Dendore D/o Jeeva Dendor, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Gada Vejaniya Rangthore Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Upper Primary School Biliya Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
7. Yashoda Rana D/o Shankar Lal Rana, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Mukam Post Gada Medatiya Vaya Chikhli Tehsil Galiyakot Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt Upper Primary School Sohanbadali Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
8. Khushboo Khant D/o Onkar Lal Khant, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Bhoi Dara Surmana, Obri Po Obri Dist Dungarpur, Presently Posted At Govt. Primary School Potla Talaie Bhesara Chhota Dungarpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.
3. The District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Dungarpur.
4. The District Education Officer (Headquarter), Elementary Education, Dungarpur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pankaj Choudhary
Mr. Narayan Rawal
Mr. Avadhesh Kumar Jangid

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in question rests covered by the judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Jaipur in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7283/2014: Manoj Khandelwal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*** (decided on 16.07.2014). He submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.

2. In Manoj Khandelwal's case (supra), it was observed and held as under:

"..... Having regard to the facts of the case, writ petition is disposed of requiring the petitioners to make a representation to respondent no.2- Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, along with a copy of this order, who shall, after verifying the facts stated above, consider and decide the same by a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of its making, addressing the grievance of the petitioners for extending them the relief as prayed for, as the candidates, who stood lower in

merit, are getting benefit of higher pay, seniority, annual grade increments and other service benefits including the selection scales. If the respondent no.2 decides to place the petitioners above in seniority than the candidates who stood lower in merit, then the petitioners would be entitled to all benefits of seniority but they would be entitled only to notional benefits."

3. In view of the submission made, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority/respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners if filed within a period of fifteen days from now. The representation be decided within a period of six weeks thereafter in accordance with law and keeping in view the observations made in the case of Manoj Khandelwal (supra).

4. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition and by learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court. The respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, appropriate orders would be passed in favour of the petitioners.

5. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

6. Stay petition and pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J