

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23048/2025

1. Ashok Sharma S/o Shri Ram Narayan Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Near Vaishlli Apartment, 80, New Trimurthi Complex Manva Kheda Road, Hiran Magri, Sector 4, Udaipur.
2. Hari Shankar Paliwal S/o Shri Tulsi Nath Paliwal, Aged About 63 Years, R/o 345, Harsh Nagar, Rampura, Girwa, District Udaipur.
3. Rakesh Jain S/o Shri Manak Chand Jain, Aged About 62 Years, R/o 25, Near Gulab Bagh, Mograwadi, Tehsil Girwa, District Udaipur.
4. Hamiduddin Quazi S/o Shri Zaheeruddin Quazi, Aged About 59 Years, R/o 54-55, Gandhi Nagar, Kalka Mata Road, Phada, Udaipur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary To The Governemnt, Department Of Higher Education (Gr.-Iii), Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur, Through Its Registrar.
3. Dean, University College Of Science, Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur.
4. Comptroller, Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur.
5. Estate Officer, Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Dinesh Suthar

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT
Order

10/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated employees working in the respondent No. 2- University filed a writ petition praying for relief of selection grade from the

initial date of appointment. The said writ petitions were decided in favour of the employees and copy of said orders dated 06.09.2018 (Annexure-7), 08.10.2018 (Annexure-9) and 08.10.2018 (Annexure-11) are annexed with the present writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of being similarly situated employees, the benefit has not been granted in favour of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner thus, seeks limited indulgence of this Court in directing respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioner in the light of judgments referred above i.e. Annexure no. 7, 9 and 11.

4. In view of the limited submissions made, present writ petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation within a period of 15 days along with the copy of the judgments mentioned above. The respondent is directed to decide the same within a period of two months in the light of the said judgments.

5. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of three months and respondent No. 2 shall decide the same independently, strictly in accordance with law by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

6. With these observations, present writ petition is disposed of.

7. Stay application and all pending applications, if any, hereby stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J