

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21348/2025

1. Rekha Jain D/o Shri Manohar Lal, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of House No. 136, Azad Nagar, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
2. Manju Kumari D/o Shri Jeevan Singh, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of House No. 87-C, Kumbha Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
3. Prem Soni W/o Shri Udailal Soni, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Delhi Gate, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
4. Manju Gadiya W/o Shri Kailash Chandra, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Village Sawa, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Rural And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Chittorgarh.
4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Chittorgarh.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Awar Dan Ujjwal.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in similar nature writ petition ***Hema Kumar Sharma & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.: SBCWP No.7492/2021***, decided on

29.06.2021, a Coordinate Bench of this Court has passed the order requiring the respondents to decide the representation to be filed by the (2 of 2) [CW-16327/2023] petitioners therein within a given time frame and, therefore, a similar order may be passed in the present case as well.

2. In the case of Hema Kumari Sharma (supra), a Coordinate Bench of this Court inter-alia directed as under:-

“1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the competent authority to consider and decide petitioners’ representation in accordance with law while also considering the fact that similarly situated persons have been given benefits vide order dated 16.01.2020 by the District Education Officer, Banswara.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a fresh representation, along with photostat copy of the earlier representation and the web copy of the order instant within a period of two weeks.

3. In case a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same strictly in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representation.

4. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners’ grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

5. The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.”

3. In view of the submissions made, the petition filed by the petitioners is disposed of in light of and with similar directions as given in the case of Hema Kumari Sharma (supra).

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J