

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 9011/2024

1. Kuldeep Singh S/o Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, 5-D, Badi, Dullapur Keri, Dist. Sriganganagar
2. Sushil Kumar S/o Sh. Kulwant Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, 11-P, Post Office Patroda, Dist. Sriganganagar

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Chander Mohan S/o Sh. Shyamlal, R/o Ton, Tehsil Boli, Dist. Sawai Madhopur, At Present Working As Area Head At Branch Manppuram Finance Limited, Shop No. 7, Gol Bazar, Near Sbi Bank, Raisinghnagar, Dist. Anupgarh,raj.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 8272/2024

Rahul Kumar S/o Laxman, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Teh. Pilibanga Dist. Hanumangarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Chander Mohan S/o Sh. Shyam Lal Meena, R/o Ton Teh. Boli Dist. Sawaimadhopur. At Present Area Head Branch Manppuram Finance Ltd. Shop No. 7, Gole Bazar, Near Sbi Bank, Raisinghnagar, Dist. Anupgarh.

----Respondents


S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 143/2025

Bhago Bai D/o Kahan Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 43/48, Tehsil Raisinghnagar , Dist Anupgarh, Raj

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional Chief Secretary , Dept Of Prosecution
2. Manappuram Finance Ltd., Through Its Authorised



Repersentative Chander Mohan S/ O Shyam Lal Age 32 Yr,
R/o Ton , Swai Madhopur Raj

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. H.S. Sidhu Mr. Sardul Singh Mr. Jayant Garg
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, Dy.GA Mr. R.S. Bhati, AGA Mr. Suresh Khadav

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

06/02/2025

1. There seems reasonable grounds to interfere in the matter, thus, Admit.
2. Learned Public Prosecutor puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1- and Mr. Suresh Khadav puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2. Thus, Service is complete. No need to issue notices as parties are already represented by their counsel.
3. Heard on stay application.
4. The submission made by Mr. H.S. Sidhu, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seems to be worth considerable that even after taking the facts narrated in the FIR on its face value, it would reveal that no loss of a single penny has been caused to the respondent-Bank authority. He further submits that the petitioners are employees of the institution and they have been working dedicatedly and zealously since a long without having any stigma of dereliction on their part. Further considering that whether in the given circumstances, the ingredients which are essential to constitute offences under Section 316 and 318 of the BNS would

attract or not, it is deemed appropriate to interfere in the matter. There appears a strong prima case in favour of the petitioners. The stay application is allowed.

5. It is directed that till the disposal of the misc. petition, they shall not be arrested in connection with FIR No.400/2024 registered at Police Station Raisingh Nagar, District Anupgarh and shall not charge-sheeted without prior permission of this Court.

(FARJAND ALI),J

41-Taruna/-