

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1249/2024

Amandeep Rupal W/o Ramandeep Singh, Aged About 37 Years,
Residing At House No.46, Kailashpuri, Street No. 2, Chak 5 E
Choti, Shri Ganganagar, Rajasthan.

----Appellant

Versus

1. The Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills, Through Its
Managing Director, 3Rd Floor, Centre Of Excellence For
Revenue Research And Analysis Jhalana Doongri, Jaipur,
Rajasthan.
2. The General Manager, Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar
Mills, Chak-23 F, Tehsil Shri Karanpur, District
Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Jayant Garg
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. C.S. Ojha Mr. Abhishek Mehta

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA**

Order

24/03/2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present appeal has been filed against the order dated
13.12.2024 whereby the writ petition filed by the petitioner
against her transfer order dated 10.12.2024 has been dismissed.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that order dated
13.12.2024 is absolutely arbitrary as the learned Single Judge has
failed to consider the contention of the appellant that her transfer
from Sriganganagar to Hanumangarh is in gross violation of the
Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Policy. He submits that

the transfer of the appellant from Sriganganagar to Hanumangarh will be lowering her status as there is no post of Manager (HR) at Hanumangarh and therefore, the transfer order dated 10.12.2024 may be quashed and set aside.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant and submits that there is no illegality in the order passed by the learned Single Judge as there is no sanctioned post of Manager (HR) at Sriganganagar where the appellant is discharging her duties and therefore, there is no question of any illegality if she is directed to discharge the same duties at Hanumangarh and merely because there is no post of Manager (HR) at Hanumangarh, transfer will become bad in the eyes of law. The contention of the appellant that there is no cadre and rank post of the status of the appellant at Hanumangarh which will lower down her status in the society is incorrect for the reason that the perks which the appellant is enjoying at Sriganganagar will be extended to her at Hanumagarh also. Therefore, there is no question that it will be lowering status of the appellant if she is transferred at Hanumangarh.

5. We have considered the submissions made at Bar and have gone through relevant record of the case including the impugned order.

6. In the considered opinion of this Court, since there is no post of Manager (HR) at Sriganganagar where the appellant was posted and she is being transferred on the same post at Hanumangarh therefore, the contention of the appellant that there is no post of Manager (HR) at Hanumangarh where the appellant is posted, is

devoid of any force. Further, since there is no reduction in the perks and privileges which the appellant was enjoying at Sriganganagar therefore, posting her at Hanumangarh will not be lowering down her position and status.

7. Learned counsel for the appellant raised the ground of malafide however, we find that neither any person against whom the malafide has been alleged has been arrayed as party respondent nor any specific incident has been quoted. Therefore, we are not inclined to entertain the ground of malafide at this stage.

8. We are not persuaded by the arguments made by learned counsel for the appellant and we do not find any infirmity in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

9. The appeal therefore, fails and the same is dismissed.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J (VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J

160-T.Singh, Chandani/-