

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20996/2025

1. Mohd. Yunus Khan S/o Mohd. Ismail Khan, Aged About 56 Years, Village Shekha Basani, Tehsil Deedwana, District Deedwana (Nagore) Rajasthan
2. Mohd. Yusuf S/o Mohd. Ismail Khan, Aged About 60 Years, Village Shekha Basani, Tehsil Deedwana, District Deedwana (Nagore) Rajasthan
3. Rustam S/o Mohd. Ismail Khan, Aged About 65 Years, Village Shekha Basani, Tehsil Deedwana, District Deedwana (Nagore) Rajasthan
4. Liyakat S/o Mohd. Ismail Khan, Aged About 76 Years, Village Shekha Basani, Tehsil Deedwana, District Deedwana (Nagore) Rajasthan

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. District Collector, Deedwana.
3. Tehsildar Deedwana, District Deedwana.
4. Municipal Council Deedwana, District Deedwana Through Its Commissioner.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Moti Singh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

01/11/2025

1. Counsel for the petitioners submits that in the similar controversy being *SBCWP No.4600/2023 : Bar Association Rani v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*, the Coordinate Bench, vide order dated 10.05.2023, has granted interim order while issuing notices. The said order reads as under:

"1. Inviting Court's attention towards the master plan (for 2010-2031) approved for Rani Khurd, Mr. Moti Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was clearly provided that the development of Abadi shall take place in residential zone, whereas the land in question in Khasra No.798 to 802 was earmarked for green belt and recorded as Gochar land, however, vide impugned order, the Collector has set apart land out of Khasra No.798 to 802 for Civil Court building.

2. While maintaining that the same could not have been done, Mr. Moti Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Collector has passed the impugned order without following procedure under Section 162 of Rajasthan Municipalities Act, 2009 and no objections have been invited by issuing public notice.

3. Matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice. Issue notice of stay application also, returnable within six weeks.

5. In the meanwhile, the status-quo, as on exists today, regarding the land in question shall be maintained by the parties.

6. Connect with S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.1675/2022 & 5091/2023."

2. Counsel for the petitioners draws attention of this Court towards Master Plan (for 1998-2023) approved for Deedwana and submits that the development of Abadi shall take place in residential zone; whereas, the land in question in Khasra No.359 to 360 was earmarked for green belt and recorded as evacuee land, however, vide impugned order, the Collector has set apart land out of Khasra No.354 to 360 for Circuit House Building.

2. In view of the above, issue notice of the writ petition along with stay application to the respondents.

3. In the meanwhile, the status quo, as it exists today, regarding the land in question shall be maintained by the parties.

4. Connect with SBCWP No.4600/2023, as prayed.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J