

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous **2nd** Bail Application No. 13335/2025

Puneet Bishnoi S/o Shri Alfaram, Aged About 26 Years, Village Alai, Police Station Sribalaji At Present Adopted Son Of Hazariram Bishnoi R/o Village Rotu, Police Station Jayal District Nagaur. (At Present Lodged At District Jail, Nagaur)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dharendra Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Jagdish Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP Mr. Uttam Khan for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

This second application for bail under Section 483 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner who has been arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.79/2022 registered at Police Station Jayal, District Nagaur, for the offences under Sections 148, 341, 323/149, 325/149, 364/149, 364/149, 365/149, 302/149 and 120-B of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with the alleged murder of deceased Narpat, said to have been committed with the aid of co-accused persons. It is contended that the co-accused, namely Dharma Ram Ghosil (S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 12638/2023), **Ravinder Netar (S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 11395/2025), Ram Vilas Dhojak @ Kalu (S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 15112/2023)** and Kishna Ram (S.B.

Criminal Misc. 3rd Bail Application No. 11396/2025), have already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Benches of this Court as well as by this Court.

Learned counsel submits that the role attributed to the present petitioner in the commission of the alleged offence is not graver than that assigned to the other accused persons who have already been granted bail. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody, the investigation qua him stands concluded, and the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future. On these grounds, it is urged that the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that blood-stained clothes and shoes of the petitioner were recovered by the investigating agency, and that witnesses to the incident have established his presence near Tarnu Hospital, where the deceased was allegedly abandoned by the co-accused persons.

In rebuttal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no test identification parade was conducted with respect to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the blood-stained clothes and lathis were recovered from the co-accused persons who have already been enlarged on bail, and no lathi has been recovered at the instance of the present petitioner. It is thus reiterated that the case of the petitioner is not distinguishable from that of the co-accused persons who have already been granted bail by Coordinate Benches of this Court.

Heard learned counsel for the parties. Perused the material available on record.

Having considered the rival submissions and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court *prima facie* finds that the case of the present petitioner is not distinguishable from that of the above-named co-accused persons who have already been enlarged on bail. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Consequently, the second bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Puneet Bishnoi S/o Shri Alfaram** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.79/2022 registered at Police Station Jayal, District Nagaur, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- and two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned trial court, for his appearance before that court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J