

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20493/2025

1. Baankaram S/o Deeparam, Aged About 43 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
2. Dungraram S/o Deeparam, Aged About 41 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
3. Harziram S/o Deeparam, Aged About 44 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
4. Jamna W/o Deeparam, Aged About 39 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
5. Chunni D/o Deeparam, Aged About 34 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
6. Keshi D/o Deeparam, Aged About 36 Years, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The Board Of Revenue, Rajasthan, Ajmer, Through Its Registrar.
2. The Assistant Collector/ Sub Divisional Officer, Sheo, District Barmer.
3. The Tehsildar, Sheo, District Barmer.
4. Nimbaram S/o Khemaram, Bank Nadi, Baitu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
5. Kalaram S/o Motaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
6. Sonaram S/o Motaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
7. Kishanlal S/o Chananaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
8. Khangaram S/o Chananaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
9. Jagaram S/o Chananaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
10. Khetu Devi D/o Narnaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil

Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.

11. Dhapu Devi W/o Narnaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
12. Jatu Ram S/o Khemaram, Bankanadi, Baytu Panji, Tehsil Baytoo, District Balotra, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tribhuwan Singh.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

17/10/2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner laid a challenge to order dated 09.06.2025 (Annex.2) passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sheo, District Barmer before the Revenue Appellate Authority by filing an appeal No.144/2025 and the Appellate Authority after hearing the parties vide order dated 19.08.2025 (Annex.4) stayed the effect and operation of the order dated 09.06.2025 with a direction to the parties to maintain the status quo with respect to the properties in question while observing that the Assistant Collector while passing the order dated 09.06.2025 did not afford the opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and also ignored the fact that the same nature of dispute was pending in case No.109/2024. He submits that the respondents being aggrieved of the order dated 19.08.2025 (Annex.4) passed by the Revenue Appellate Authority preferred a Revision before the learned Board of Revenue and the learned Board of Revenue without assigning any reason and affording the opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, stayed the effect and

operation of the order dated 19.08.2025 vide order dated 11.09.2025 (Annex.5).

2. In view of the submissions made, issue notice to the respondents. Issue notice of the stay application also.

3. In the meanwhile and till the next date, the proceedings in Revision No.25/8699 titled as Nimmbaram Vs. Bakaram & Ors. pending before the learned Board of Revenue shall remain stayed and also the parties are directed to maintain status quo in respect to the property in question.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J

27-pradeep/-