

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12605/2025

Govardhan Das S/o Shri Kalu Das, Aged About 39 Years, Near Govt School Medta Dabok Tehsil Mavli District Udaipur Raj. (Presently Lodged In Dist. Jail Udaipur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. JVS Deora Mr. Veer Bajrang Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Singh Prajapat, PP
For Complainant	:	Mr. Rishabh Tayal Mr. Jitendra Choudhary

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

25/02/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	122/2024
2.	Date of lodging FIR	07.05.2024
3.	Concerned Police Station	Dabok
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 408, 419, 420, 468 and 471 of the IPC
6.	Offences added, if any	Sections 465, 467 and 477(a) of the IPC

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 26.09.2025. The offence alleged against present petitioner are triable by Magistrate and charge-sheet has

already been filed. It is further contended that the alleged embezzlement pertains to the year 2014 and there is an inordinate delay in lodging the FIR. It is further stated that no recovery so far has been made from the present petitioner and also there is no criminal antecedent. Based on the above submission, the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel appearing for complainant vehemently oppose the bail application and submit that there is no delay in lodging the FIR and as a matter of fact the period of embezzlement is ranging between the period of 2014 till the date of lodging of FIR.

3.1 It is further contended that there are serious allegations against the petitioner, including unauthorized issuance of transfer certificates to students, making false entries in the fee register and affixing a forged seal of the Headmaster on transfer certificates. It is submitted that after investigation, a charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioner.

3.2 Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the amount involved is approximately Rs.93,00,000/- and considering the gravity and seriousness of the allegations, the petitioner may not be enlarged on bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers and considering that the amount of embezzlement Rs.93,00,000/- so also considering the seriousness of the allegation of making

false entries, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is **dismissed**.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

10-Ashutosh/-