

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13362/2025

Kuldeep Singh S/o Shri Ashok Singh Ramgarhiya, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No 3, Sri Vijaynagar, At Present Purani Abadi, Sri Ganganagar, District Sriganganagar (At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Bikaner)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Lela Dhar S/o Dula Ram, R/o Chak 2-3 Phm (Chak Godaran)Police Thana Rajiyasar Suratghar District Sri Ganganagar

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shriram Choudhary, AGA Mr. Ravinder Kumar, for the respondent No.2

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

07/05/2026

1. The present third bail application has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with FIR No.169/2022 registered at Police Station Rajiasar, District Sri Ganganagar for the offences under Sections 302, 201, 450, 365, 147, 148 and 149 IPC alongwith Section 3/25 of the Arms Act.

2. As per the prosecution case, the deceased Jaswant was allegedly abducted by the accused persons forming an unlawful assembly, assaulted and thereafter thrown into Indira Gandhi Canal resulting in his death. The prosecution case is stated to be

based on circumstantial evidence including motive, mobile tower location, recovery of incriminating articles and other material collected during investigation.

3. The record further reflects that the earlier bail application preferred by the petitioner before this Court came to be rejected vide order dated 08.04.2024 after considering the material available on record. The Court while rejecting the earlier bail application observed that strong circumstances were available against the accused pointing towards his involvement in the crime.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for a considerable period and the trial is likely to take time. It is further submitted that several prosecution witnesses have already been examined and no useful purpose would be served by continued incarceration of the petitioner.

5. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature. It is further submitted that material witnesses are yet to be examined and the petitioner has criminal antecedents. Learned Public Prosecutor further submits that there is no substantial change in circumstances after rejection of the earlier bail application.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and the prosecution has collected material connecting the petitioner with the alleged

offence. This Court also finds that no substantial change in circumstances has been pointed out after rejection of the earlier bail application so as to take a different view in the matter.

7. Accordingly, the present third bail application filed under Section 483 BNSS stands dismissed.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are confined only to adjudication of the present bail application and shall not influence the learned trial Court while appreciating the evidence during trial.

(FARJAND ALI),J