

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1956/2025
CNR: RJHC010230282025 | URN: CRLMP / 3427U / 2025

Rajanala Rama Mohana Rao Father/o Veera Raghavaiah, Aged
About 64 Years, R/o Jeedimetla, Secunderbad, Telangana State
-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecuter .
 2. Ajay Parkash S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 45 Years,
E-510 , Hudko Quarters , Kamla Nehru Nagar, Pratap
Nagar, Jodhpur City West, Rajasthan .india .
- Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 7950/2024
CNR: RJHC010875142024 | URN: CRLMP / 15596U / 2024

Masood Mallick S/o Sh. Mahmood Alam, Aged About 50 Years,
Vill No. 6, Ramky Ceo Enclave, Gachibowli, Rangareddy, Seri
Lingampally, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
 2. Ajay Parkash S/o Sh. Om Parakash, Aged About 45 Years,
E-510, Hudko Quarters, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Pratap
Nagar, (Jodhpur City West) Raj., India.
- Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. NS Acharya Mr. Umesh Kant Vyas
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. NS Chandawat, Dy.G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI
Order

28/07/2026

1. Matter comes upon an application seeking early hearing of
the case.
2. For the reasons and grounds mentioned in the application,
the same is allowed.
3. Admit.

4. None is appearing for the private respondents, despite service. Since the complainant is the same person in both the cases who is respondent No.2 in both the cases and as the notice has been served in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1956/2025, therefore, it can be presumed that the complainant has information about pendency of the other case (S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 7950/2024).

5. List the matter after six weeks.

6. Heard on stay application.

7. As recited in the FIR (No.525/2024 registered at Police Station Udaimandir, District Jodhpur City East) itself that there has been a contract for the supply of labour and the collection of litter, garbage, etc. It is the grief of the complainant that earlier assurances were given for making the payment, however, at the last, the complainant perceived that the assurances so made were not honest rather false. Whether the act alleged as narrated in the impugned FIR if taken on its face value or in its entirety without rebutting the same would constitute an offence as alleged is a question to ponder.

8. In this view of the matter, the stay applications are allowed and it is ordered that till disposal of the main petitions, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners in connection with FIR No.525/2024 registered at Police Station Udaimandir, District Jodhpur City East.

(FARJAND ALI),J