



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1219/2024

The Secretary, Rajasthan Public Service Commission
Ajmer,rajasthan.

----Appellant

Versus

1. Mahendra Choudhary S/o Shri Sukha Ram Choudhary,
Aged About 28 Years, R/o P.no. 53 Khasra No 28/2
Sriramnagar Nandri, Jodhpur,district Jodhpur,rajasthan.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary,urban
Development And Urban Housing
Department,government Of Rajasthan,secretariat, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Khet Singh Rajpurohit
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mohan Singh Shekhawat

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH**

Order

25/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that the controversy involved in the present appeal is no more res-integra, as it is decided by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court at Jaipur Bench in a bunch of special appeals led by D.B. Civil Special Appeal (Writ) No.436/2025 (*The Rajasthan Public Service Commission V/s Brijesh Meena & Ors.*), decided on 27.11.2025; operative portion of the same reads as under:-

"17. We, therefore, set aside the order dated 11.07.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent of treating the qualification, as possessed by the writ petitioners, as equivalent to required qualification, as mentioned in the advertisement. However, at the same time, we direct the State Government to form a Committee which may at least consist of three Members of State Government, one Member from AICTE and one



Member from RTU to examine the qualifications possessed by the writ petitioners and its equivalence to that of the qualifications as required under the advertisement and further, pass a speaking order.

If the Committee, so constituted, reaches to the conclusion that the qualification as possessed by the writ petitioners is equivalent to the qualification as mentioned in the advertisement for the post of ATP, the State Government shall proceed accordingly. However, if the appointments are given, the writ petitioners would be treated to be appointed along with other candidates who have been appointed under the advertisement. Their inter-se merit shall be protected for the purpose of seniority and notional benefit could be granted for the period up to the date of appointment and actual benefits shall accrue from the date of appointment after making pay fixation accordingly.

The entire exercise shall be conducted and concluded within a period of two months henceforth.

18. The Special Appeals Nos.436/2025, 705/2024, 772/2024 and 69/2025 are partly allowed to the aforesaid extent.

19. As regards the appeals filed by one of the candidates, Lokesh Meena, who had participated in the selection process, the contentions raised by him is that the writ petitioners-respondents, after participation in the selection process, could not have claimed equivalence. However, we find that the contention raised by him is wholly misconceived as vide order dated 19.02.2024, this Court had passed an interim order in favour of the writ petitioners allowing them to participate in the selection process and equivalence was required to be examined by the State and it is during pendency of the writ petitions that the orders have been passed which have been found to be illegal both by the learned Single Judge as well as by us. Hence, D.B. Civil Special Appeal Nos.523/2024 and 1003/2025 filed by Lokesh Meena are dismissed.

20. All pending applications also stand disposed of.”

2. In light of the aforesaid submission, the present special appeal (writ) is disposed of in the same terms as laid down in *Brijesh Meena (supra)*.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J

(DR.PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J