

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18582/2018

1. Ram Niwas S/o Shri Suraj Mal, Aged About 59 Years, By Caste Mahazan, Resident Of Gadiyala, Bikaner (Khasra No. 82/2).
2. Dhapu W/o Shri Suraj Mal,, Aged About 82 Years, By Caste Mahazan, Resident Of Sakhinande Gadiyala, Bikaner (Khasra No. 80/1)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Engineer, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
2. The District Collector, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
3. The Superintending Engineer, Second Stage, Circle First, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
4. The Executive Engineer, Kolayat Lift Division, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
6. The Colonization Tehsildar, Kolayat No. 1, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
7. The Tehsildar, Kolayat, District- Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15633/2018

Rameshwarlal S/o Shri Champalal, Aged About 62 Years, By Caste Mahazan, R/o Gadiyala, Bikaner, (Khasra No.- 79/2).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Engineer, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
2. The District Collector, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
3. The Superintending Engineer, Second Stage, Circle First, Indira Gandhi Nahar Praiyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
4. The Executive Engineer, Kolayat Lift Division, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojana, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
5. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

6. The Colonization Tehsildar, Kolayat No.-1, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
7. The Tehsildar, Kolayat, District- Bikaner (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jai Kishan Bhaiya
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Lalit Kumar Purohit

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

29/04/2026

SB Civil Writ Petition No.18582/2018 :

1. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the acquisition proceedings, which were undertaken way back in the year 2015.
2. In the writ petition an alternative prayer has been made to direct the respondents to make payment of compensation in lieu of the land of the petitioners.
3. Mr. Lalit Purohit, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Department submits that the acquisition proceedings have already been completed and after due determination of the amount of compensation, the same has been paid to the petitioners.
4. In view of the statement made above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present writ petition and the same has been rendered infructuous.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

6. It will be open for the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy, in case he is not satisfied with the quantum of compensation, if law so permits.

7. In case, any proceedings are initiated, the same shall be decided in accordance with law without being influenced by any observation/liberty granted by this Court.

8. Stay application and all other pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

SB Civil Writ Petition No.15633/2018 :

1. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the acquisition proceedings, which were undertaken way back in the year 2015.

2. In the writ petition an alternative prayer has been made to direct the respondents to make payment of compensation in lieu of the land of the petitioners.

3. Mr. Lalit Purohit, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Department submits that the final award has already been passed and compensation, with regard to the land of the petitioner, has already been calculated. It is further stated that notices have been issued to accept the compensation, however, no steps have been taken by the petitioner in this regard.

4. In view of the statement made above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present writ petition and the same has been rendered infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

6. However, since it is admitted factual position that payment has not been made to the petitioner, it will be open for the

petitioner to get the said payment by making appropriate application in that regard. In case, any such application is filed, the respondents are directed to make payment of compensation within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of such application.

7. It will be open for the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy, in case he is not satisfied with the quantum of compensation, if law so permits.

8. In case, any proceedings are initiated, the same shall be decided in accordance with law without being influenced by any observation/liberty granted by this Court.

9. Stay application and all other pending application(s) stand dismissed.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J