

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 6926/2024

1. Uda S/o Bhagwana Ji Jaat, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Koshithal, Teh. Bhadesar, Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.
2. Mangilal S/o Bhagwana Ji Jaat, Aged About 72 Years, R/o Koshithal, Teh. Bhadesar, Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.
3. Shantilal S/o Sh. Kaniram Jaat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Koshithal, Teh. Bhadesar, Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.
4. Smt. Gattu Bai W/o Udairam Jaat, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Koshithal, Teh. Bhadesar, Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.
5. Narayanlal S/o Sh. Mangilal Jaat, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Koshithal, Teh. Bhadesar, Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan
2. Jeetmal S/o Shankarlal Ji Jaat, R/o Koshithal Ps Mandfiya Sanwaliya Ji Ps Mandfiya (Sanwaliya Ji), Dist. Chittorgarh, Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. O.P. Sangwa
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, AGA Mr. Pawan Kumar Bhati, AGA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Order

10/10/2024

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that *ex facie* the allegations leveled against the petitioners pertain to committing alleged forgery to mislead the Court to obtain a decree in his favour. The same, in any case, at worst, would fall under Section 340 of Cr.P.C. and the impugned cognizance order directing to



frame charges under Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC against the petitioners is *per se* not sustainable.

Issue notice.

Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notice and seeks time to file a report.

Notice be issued to respondent No.2.

In the meanwhile, further proceedings before the trial Court shall remain stayed.

(ARUN MONGA),J

27-DhananjayS/-