

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16196/2025

Madan Mohan

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. M.L. Deora
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Bhupesh Charan for Mr. N.K. Mehta, DGC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNNURI LAXMAN

Order

20/11/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the facts in the present case are covered by the decision of the Jaipur Bench of this Court dated **20.01.2014** passed in the case of ***Yogesh Kumar Pareek Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3534/2009)***.

This Court, on seeing the covered order, found that there is only one appointment order and after such an appointment order the petitioner therein seems to have not been paid with any summer salary even though he was appointed on regular basis. However, in the present case as per the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners were selected on a regular post by advertisement after undergoing the selection process. Basing on the said selection, they were initially appointed on temporary basis prior to the summer vacations and again after the summer vacation, the authorities have appointed the petitioners on regular basis by placing them under probation for two years.

The operating field of two appointment orders was never under challenge except in the present writ petition. Those appointment orders are of 1992. After almost 33 years, the grievance is ventilated now. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to show whether the facts in the case of *Yogesh Kumar Pareek (supra)* also contains two appointment orders, i.e. one appointment order of temporary nature prior to the summer vacations and the second appointment order after the summer vacations on regular basis, however, placing the petitioner therein on a probation for two years, on the next date of hearing.

List the matter on **11.12.2025** under the same category.

(MUNNURI LAXMAN),J