

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16637/2026
CNR: RJHC010728272026 | URN: CW / 29311U / 2026

Tara Chand Meena Alias Tara Chand Kalasua S/o Shri Kadua Lal Kalasua, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of Amlia, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary And Commissioner, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Udaipur, District Udaipur.
4. The Additional Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Udaipur, District Udaipur.
5. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Jhadol, District Udaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Suthar.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Vaishnav, Dy.G.C.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

28/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 20.01.2020 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14638/2019, Chandra Kanta v. State of Rajasthan & Anr.**

and the batch of connected writ petitions. He submits that the Coordinate Bench, while allowing the said writ petitions, held that transfer orders whereby the petitioners were transferred from their respective Panchayat Samitis without specifying the place of their posting/joining could not be sustained.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for short time to complete his instructions.

3. List the matter along with SBCWP Nos.14704/2026, 14831/2026 after reflecting the name of Mr. Kuldeep Vaishnav, Dy.G.C. as counsel appearing for the respondents in the cause list.

4. In the meanwhile, the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.4) shall remain stayed qua the petitioner, in case, he has not joined at the new place of posting.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents would be at liberty to file application under Article 226 (3) of the Constitution of India.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J