

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 6612/2024

Danaram Rathi S/o Sh. Moti Ram Ji, Aged About 52 Years, R/o
Guljarpur Sikar Road, Kuchaman City, Nawa, Dist. Nagaur, Raj

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Sanjya W/o Tejaram, R/o Jhalra Road, Kuchaman City,
Dist. Didwana Kuchaman

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Harish Kumar Purohit
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP Mr. R.S. Bhati, AGA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Order

26/09/2024

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that neither is there any role attributable to the petitioner in the FIR nor otherwise, even named in the FIR. In fact, there is no whisper of suggesting any culpability on his part for having committed any offence. Yet, he is been falsely implicated at the instance of the complainant in the FIR merely because he happens to be in acquaintance with some of the co-accused.

Issue notice.

Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file a report.

Meanwhile, further investigation to continue but no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner. However, as and when the petitioner is required by the Investigating Officer, he shall



[CRLMP-6612/2024]

cause appearance before him, in case any statement is to be recorded.

(ARUN MONGA),J

96-DhananjayS/-