


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15307/2026

CNR: RJHC010697592026

URN: CW / 27680U / 2026

Dalip Kumar S/o Shri Banwari Lal, Aged About 57 Years,
Resident Of Ward No.13, Near Teacher Colony, Tehsil Sadul
Shahar, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Revenue
Department, Govern Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Registrar, Board Of Revenue, Ajmer.
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.
4. Ranveer Phuleji, At Present Posted As Nayab Tehsildar,
Dhaba, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. R.C. Joshi.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.R. Paliwal, G.C. Mr. J.S. Bhaleria.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

22/07/2026

1. The present writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner with the following prayers:-

“i. That the impugned Transfer Order dated 10.07.2026 (Annexure-3) passed by Respondent no-02 may kindly be quashed and set aside qua the petitioner.

ii. That the Respondents may be directed to allow the petitioner to work at Dhaba, District- Hanumangarh on the post of Nayab Tehsildar.”

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the petitioner was initially appointed as a Patwari on 31.10.1991 and was

promoted to the post of Girdawar in the year 2014. Thereafter, in the year 2022, he was promoted to the post of Naib Tehsildar and was posted at Hindumal Kot, District Sri Ganganagar. Subsequently, vide transfer order dated 13.09.2022, he was transferred to Tehsil Deeg, District Bharatpur. Thereafter, he was transferred to Mirjewala on 18.08.2023 and again transferred from Mirjewala to Dhaba vide order dated 11.10.2024, where his name appeared at Serial No. 89 and he joined his duties. According to the petitioner, within about ten days of joining at Dhaba, he was again transferred vide order dated 28.10.2024 from Dhaba, District Sri Ganganagar, to the Office of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Hanumangarh, where his name appeared at Serial No. 56 and Respondent No. 4 was posted in his place. Thereafter, he came to be posted at Tehsil Hanumangarh under the Office of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Hanumangarh. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite repeated transfers within a short span of time, he has once again been transferred vide the impugned order dated 10.07.2026, wherein his name appears at Serial No. 24, and the same has been assailed on the ground that he has been subjected to frequent transfers without any valid administrative exigency.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, confines his prayer to a limited extent by seeking a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner expeditiously.

4. Per contra, learned counsel appearing for the private respondent submits that pursuant to the impugned transfer order,

the private respondent has already assumed charge at the new place of posting and is presently discharging his duties there.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent Department submits that the petitioner can file his representation before the respondents, who will consider the representation on the grounds raised by the petitioner in an expeditious manner and this petition can be disposed at this stage.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, the petitioner is granted liberty to submit a detailed representation before the competent authority within a period of 'two weeks' from today. In the event such representation is submitted within the aforesaid period, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, strictly in accordance with law, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of four weeks thereafter.

7. It is made clear that the order instant does not indicate the representation of the petitioner to be decided in a particular manner. The respondents are required to examine the documents and decide the same, strictly in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J