

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5941/2026
CNR: RJHC010697322026
URN: CRLMP / 10523U / 2026

1. Soniya D/o Devilal, Aged About 19 Years, R/ Ward No 7, 6 Cdr Village Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh
2. Roshan S/o Harchand, Aged About 19 Years, R/ 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangrah.
3. The Station House Officer, Police Station Tibbi And Surewala Chowki, Dist. Hanumangarh.
4. The Harchand S/o Veshakha Singh, R/o 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh
5. Devilal S/o Pritam Singh, R/o 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh.
6. Surjan Singh S/o Pritam Singh, R/o 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh.
7. Budhram S/o Prabhu Singh, R/o 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh.
8. Avtar S/o Saminder Singh, R/o 6 Cdr Ward No 7 Saharani 8 Cdr Hanumangarh Dist. Hanumangarh.
9. Ramdas S/o Amarjeet Singh, R/o Bhanbuwali Dhani Tehsil Pilibanga, Dist. Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nishant Motsara
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

17/07/2026

The criminal misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal misc. petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J