

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5915/2026

CNR: RJHC010696962026

URN: CRLMP / 10483U / 2026

1. Madhubala D/o Ramniwas Palani, Aged About 24 Years,
R/o Village Jamola , District Ajmer Raj.
2. Om Prakash S/o Shambhub Luhar, Aged About 27 Years,
R/o Ward No.7 Luhar Basti Sutarkhana Mohalla Nasirabad
District Ajmer

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary Minister Of
Home Affairs, Jaipur. Raj
2. Director General Of Police, Govt. Of Rajasthan Police Head
Quarter, Jaipur Raj.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Ajmer Raj.
4. The Station House Offier, Masuda, District Ajmer Raj
5. Kamlesh S/o Ramesh, Aged About 27 Years, Village
Jamola Tehsil Masuda District Ajmer Raj.
6. Kauldeep S/o Nathu Ram, Village Jamola Tehsil Masuda
District Ajmer Raj.
7. Sikander S/o Nathu Ram, Village Jamola Tehsil Masuda
District Ajmer Raj.
8. Suraj S/o Nathu Ram, Village Jamola Tehsil Masuda
District Ajmer Raj.
9. Gajraj S/o Nathu Ram, Village Jamola Tehsil Masuda
District Ajmer Raj.
10. Kailash S/o Magu Ram, Village Jamola Tehsil Masuda
District Ajmer Raj.
11. Shanti Devo W/o Prabhu, 434, Sutarkhan Lohar Basti,
Nasirabad, Ajmer Raj.
12. Shantosh S/o Shambhu Lohar, 434, Sutarkhan Lohar
Basti, Nasirabad, Ajmer Raj.
13. Manju W/o Vijay, 434, Sutarkhan Lohar Basti, Nasirabad,
Ajmer Raj.
14. Prem Devi W/o Ramlal, 434, Sutarkhan Lohar Basti,
Nasirabad, Ajmer Raj.

15. Kalu Ram S/o Gulab, Village Sethan. P. S Pisagan, Tehsil Pisagan District Ajmer Raj
16. Shankar S/o Naian Ram, Fatehpur P.s Pisagan District Ajmer

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bhawani Singh Sodha
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

17/07/2026

The criminal misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal misc. petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J